

0907-2011-synopsis Ucadia call 043

Talkshoe 90342; synopsis and recordings at:

www.university.ucadia.info and www.lawlearners.net

This is Weds. Sept. 7, 2011. The purpose of the call is to share the insight and research that we continue to do each week and to share feedback on what has work and what has not worked. This is producing and supporting a model and it is not meant to be legal advice. Let me start with the format: I'll cover research, updates and feedback and then do questions and comments in the 2nd hour.

The topics tonight are how to conduct oneself in the role of general executor and the reason I want to talk about this is that a number of you have provided important feedback in the last week. How does one conduct oneself? How do we avoid falling back into the same old habits of being reflective, reactive and behaving as less than an executor when we find ourselves under pressure. I want to cover the concept of law as auricular, that it is spoken, and putting into context the nature of language and the importance of our ability to stand and speak clearly, knowing who and what we are.

I want to bring new information on an important area that is relevant to foreclosures, to tenancy and land and it is the subject of socage, or tenancy in common. There's some brilliant research a number of you have done on this in the last week. Also I will talk about Roman documents and Roman procedures. I want to cover that because we continue to come back to the question of how we overcome the apparent rejection of our documents. Why, in spite of everything do we still find documents rejected.

I want to return to some of the key understandings and last but not least, I want to cover the aspects of the earth changes, concerns and fears that people have and the question of the covenant and some of the objections people still find. This issue of the spiritual dimension and the living dimension—what is going on? I'll try to give you my understanding of what is going on.

There's a lot happening at the moment. If you have listened to the last few calls and if you have looked at the website of one-heaven.org and now the court sites, you are aware that we continue to develop the Ucadian communities so that you will have the tools necessary to stand with confidence that is your right as the occupant of the office of general executor of your estate. It has taken some time because this is not a replica of the Roman system and we are not here to mimic them. This is based on foundational work that has taken decades to prepare.

We have revealed that it is of the utmost importance to recognize your role in the role of being the general executor of your own estate and that as general executor you must begin to act accordingly and we have explained the difference between being an executor and being a trustee and the difference between being an executor and a beneficiary, and while we have explained how the system came to presume the role of executor by declaring us all intestate or 'dead' and then inclosing our will by requiring our will to be written as opposed to being spoken, a number of you are still coming to terms with how we behave in the appropriate manner. As a result there has been some disappointment where people with the best intentions have moved forward and found that when put to the test their confidence, knowledge and ability to express who they believe themselves to be as executors has not succeeded.

I want to go back over this with you so that we can move forward with more confidence and more solid foundation in knowing who and what we are and in particular, finding remedy with this knowledge. This is crucial. What I have said and I've said this from the beginning, despite all the promises that some may make, and indeed, despite some of the outrageous claims and charging money for the claims, there is no remedy in documentation. There is no magic bullet in

the form of some special, secret administrative procedure that ultimately will render you free and solve your problems with the Roman system.

The reason I can say that with confidence, that there is no remedy in paper, there is no remedy in procedure on its own, is that the law is and has always been auricular. The law has always been oral—spoken first. Paper and the writing has always been the memorialization, a secondary element. While the Roman system has claimed us and controls us and regales us with all their paperwork, when it comes down to it, if you cannot speak clearly who you believe you are and what you believe yourself to be, then all the paperwork in the world will not help you. This unfortunately was one of the hard life lessons for a number of you this week that in paper alone you could present yourself as executor, but when pressed in flesh failed to express yourself clearly. There is remedy to your tax problems, foreclosure issues and custody battles. There is remedy to whatever attacks you are under when you know who you are and you can express that clearly and when you know what your obligations are and how to conduct yourself as an executor.

I said last week and I have given context to all this, I feel this is one of the most important things. Even though we have said it before I believe it is of incredible importance that it is expressed as clearly as I can do it tonight to you and it is this: the role of being general executor is the hardest role you will ever seek to undertake in your life. It is not a role based on revenge, arrogance, where you seek to trip up members of the bar guild who have exposed ignorance of their own law. The role of executor is not some way to magically make you rich by somehow tapping into private accounts and other secret trusts and structures that the Roman system has placed. The role of executor is hard because it requires you to actually spend a significant amount of time learning and re-learning, training and re-training, testing and re-testing. If you have not read all the canons so far in divine law, natural law, cognitive law, positive law and ecclesiastical law as they are presented on the home page of www.one-heaven.org then you are not prepared yet to the role of general executor.

If you have studied scripture, have lived with honor and know the significance of the words of wisdom with such teachers such as Yashua, Buddha, the purity of Sufism in the original and true message of Mohammed, if you have lived with self-discipline and seek to do no harm to others, and know the truth, then you would be ready and more than able to express yourself as general executor. Unfortunately, and I am included, while we know these words and I have studied these words, I find myself everyday being challenged to behave in the matter befitting the role of general executor such as Yashua. Jesus, Buddha, Moses, Mohammed and leaders we expect in roles of directors, teachers and guides. If you have not lived your life that way with the self discipline and denied ego, and used the peace and honor of golden rule, then we have to learn the canons before taking the role of general executor. Why should I learn the canons? Why not learn Black's law and the tools of the private BAR?

The rules of the private BAR continue to change. I suggest you read Black's and look at the evolution of the meaning of executor and general executor as displayed in Black's law from the beginning. What you will find is that far from the law in their system having bedrock and comprehension of foundation, they have changed the system as they go. You cannot rely on their systems for principles of law because they hide and change and beguiling.

I hope all of you will redouble the commitment to reading the canons. Go to one-heaven.org and look at cognitive law. You will see in one place a model of mind, a reasoning of mind, explanation of emotions, and key concepts of mind. When they come to take your property you will never see a lawyer with a block of land in the pocket. The battleground is the mind and they

challenge you with psych evals. Doesn't it make sense to look at the model that expresses the key concepts of mind in cognitive law? They must take control of your mind.

Whether a model is true or false if the model accomplishes certain things and there is comprehension, logical structure, concepts and reference, this will provide the architecture to our brains and we can make sense of things. If you have not taken the time to study any model of clarity you have deprived your mind of the structure it needs to make sense of the complex structure of law. You don't have to believe what you read, but consider the relevance of having some structure in your mind so you know in theory how you might behave as executor. When someone says why should I read this if it might not be true? This is irrelevant. It's an excuse—it's a model. It is a structure and what we need more than anything else is structure. If someone has an alternative structure bring it on. The hardest thing you will ever do is seek to be a general executor.

Now look at one-heaven.org and positive law. We are not taught the art of lecture, public speaking, logic. We have been deprived in our education. If someone says they don't know how to argue, look at the canons of positive law. Section 2 describes form section 3 rights, 4 agreement, 5 nature is a plane, 6 the elements of argument. I give you as much as I can and I cannot help you one to one. Please look at what has been given; the tools of rhetoric are laid bare and the tools of argument have been brought to life. If you read these and they will know in court by how you hold yourself that you are formidable. This knowledge will change you and give you context and structure. You don't have to believe this by blind faith. It will give you a dimension. If you find yourself in great difficulty hoping that pieces of paper will save you, you need to learn, and you need to read. The same applies to people who have concerns about Ucadia and the symbolism. I understand that. They are concerned where it comes from and where it is going. And I ask over and over: have you read the covenant from beginning to end. The answer is mostly no. We are struggling to survive, to work, and prices have never been harder. It's hard to live in the world; I live in the world. I do my chores, my partner works for a living and do my part to work and survive. I know how you are struggling as that is exactly how I live. If you don't read, there is no magic abracadabra that anyone can give you to turn you into an executor now.

Some will promise you that you can be an executor with this magic approach or so much money. Our lives are complicated. We look to those people in desperation and we say we will pay you to help me. Let's talk about auricular, oral law. There is an ancient belief of indigenous people including the Celts and the Yahudi about auricular law. Hebrew wasn't invented until the 4th century. The majority of advanced civilizations did not have written language as they considered it an abomination to the divine. People gained access to knowledge without initiation and care for the use of knowledge which was the most valuable treasure in history. Today we have to see if it's rubbish dressed up as knowledge or the genuine article. Many people don't know the difference and that's why they get suckered in by the snake oil salesman promising the magic bullet. The ancestors on all the continents in the wisest, oldest cultures considered writing an abomination against the initiation and care and use of knowledge. You can see it by sharing wisdom and the disrespect some show it.

Writing allowed tricky people to lie. It allowed people to write falsities and claim them as wisdom. When you hear someone speak the truth you feel the vibration. When you meet someone and they speak to you we have the natural ability to discern what is true and false by sound and vibration. Some can mask the truth and Obama is an expert in the use of hypnotic style of oration in order to create the effect of truth. All of you have heard him speak; now if you listen to recordings of his without the visual, you will find the message is far less significant than

what you felt. He is using techniques to hypnotize the audience and there is little truth in what he speaks. Not even the Romans, exiles of the Yahudi, took the Etruscan language; mirror reversed it and called it Latin. They had no written language and when it came in, it was objected to by many civilizations and the Yahudi refused to have a written language. It is how we speak is how we conduct ourselves and express our Will. Expressing our will is by saying clearly, "this is my will." You must be clear and know who you are.

We have been brought up to believe if we are in trouble that paper is the key. Let's talk about Roman procedure. I've said enough about executor and the role. Roman procedure is form over substance done as a fine art. In the courts as a matter of argument and principle, one cause leads to one action or procedure and one primary argument. This may sound simple and self-evident and we don't always follow it. One cause of action, one controversy, leads to one argument, leads to one procedure. If you start a procedure in the Roman system, and most are their procedures, and the court says if it is not their form they cannot see it. The form is defined by statutes and policies and so title is the first and most important thing. If they call it Deed, that is the title. If they call the form 'revocation of power of attorney' that is the name. If the form is affidavit that is what you call it, not affidavit of truth. Will and testament is not Living Will and Testament. Many fail to get through the first hurdle by changing the title and that renders the form null and void and they don't have to act on it. You may have spent weeks and if you change the title, you change the form and you rendered it null and void.

Procedure is also defined by statute. Follow the procedure in steps. Think of the game of chess where certain pieces do a certain things. There is always one move and one response. One move and one response. You can't do three things with one instrument. One cause, one argument, one procedure of action. If procedure means you have to revoke power of attorney that is one action and one form. A motion is one action and one form.

Another classic mistake we make with documentation is that we throw everything and the kitchen sink when we seek to have a matter removed. You cut and paste things out of ucadia, one heaven, somewhere else and try to make it a history lesson with the motion. You make it easy for them and they look at it as sovereign idiots and freeman nutters throwing paper without thinking about what is the primary strength. Here's a classic example where we miss the point: here's an example of where we fall with our administrative procedures. Some want to argue against the debt. When you go to court the debt is there. You can argue that you don't 'owe the debt.' It's the wrong argument. Yes, the bank converted the promissory notes and received some payment for that. THAT is part of the issue, that they have not disclosed what has been paid and they have not maintained the account as trustees and bereft of diligence. Your maintenance and the cost of upkeep are not reflected on the balance sheet. So instead of arguing about the loan, you say absolutely we signed an agreement for a loan. We gave the permission when we signed the contract, but I don't see any documents of who you sold the loan to, how much you sold it for, and the accounting that we paid the rents. Where is that in the accounting? When you follow the argument of proper accounting, they OWE YOU MONEY. What we have been doing is utter madness, is we are collecting and not following one thing. If you want to think of a horse in a race, you are taking bits and pieces of different horses. If you do this and throw in the kitchen sink, nothing will work.

Look at logic and the argument of logic and fallacy. If one of your arguments is false or irrelevant, they can't say it's all irrelevant. The first move is a motion to have your material stricken. Why? You have material that is of no relevance. One controversy, one key argument they cannot win. The bank cannot deny that they have sold the loan. Once you argue the accounting has not been done and they failed to provide the tracing as trustees they have a real

problem. They have to balance out the upkeep and the sale. The sale is based on the original contract. THEY OWE YOU MONEY.

You need to do the legwork, read and become competent in general executor role. Get over the silly mistakes of making private documents as public documents. Now let's talk about the concept of socage and tenants in common. This is the deception we are dealing with. Look at positive law and the section on common law and Anglo-Saxon law. Article 256 of positive law and 258 and 259 feudal and common law. The original concept of tenant, tenare was introduced under the Pippins, the Franks, in France and there was right of redemption and right of equity and the tenant was protected under law. Under feudal law they enclosed those rights and denied them to the class known as serfs, worse than a slave, and still today considered a fixture of the land. One of the tricks you see when you pull out the land title documents does not have the words owners, but tenants in common. All the promises they made, all the claims that you own your home, in the Roman system you can never own the home and you are at best a tenant whether you pay a mortgage or not.

More bad news: the realization of what socage is; socage appears when you look at handling probate and the proof of the estate where some of the more technical nature of their system begins to reveal itself. Socage and the concept of Sokman they say comes from Anglo-Saxon law and that it was a position between free tenants and bonded tenants which they called villains, from which we get the word villain. They claimed these roles had certain personal freedoms and also performed certain services on the land and the Sokeman belonged under the Lord Soke or jurisdiction and they say that socage as a form of tenancy is really derived from this relationship with the local lord and the land, or the modern system with the councilmen and aldermen or aldermen and the local rent payer of today. If that is true, what they are claiming is that once they took tenancy away and they inclosed that right to their own elite, you became tenants to the monarch. The lords and dukes became tenants to the monarch. What they are saying is that in common law the right of tenancy the right was returned; is that true?

7:52

Soke in French means plow share and has nothing to do with what they are claiming. It's an artful redirection. Socage and soke comes from two variations of Latin words: socors which means weak minded, stupid, negligent, slothful, careless, feeble, socor meaning father in law, father, mother in law or parens patriae. So the relationship is between the socur and the socor, between the guardian and the weak minded, stupid, negligent, slothful and feeble. Socage is a ward tenant and you have absolutely no rights and if the guardians want to take your property they will and they do. They never returned tenancy and when you see tenancy on your land title you see tenant in common, you are not a true tenant in the legal sense. You are a ward tenant, a tenant socur. They insult and curse and laugh at us and that is why there is no right of redemption and equity. I need to update the material. I am in error; I felt and believed they had returned in some semblance the nature of tenancy and they did not. The right of redemption and equity, to fix mistakes, to blow millions of dollars, to keep on going, to never be held to account, to avoid jail, these are not our rights, they are the rights of the banking, industrial, parasite families. We do not have that right at all and that is why they keep being let off. They have the right of redemption—*mea culpa*, I made a mistake, let me fix it—a true tenant has that right. We are ward tenants and a ward tenant does not have that right.

This is a significant change in our attitude of foreclosure. In the aspect of tenancy and land, there is a whole different complexion. I gave an example in Roman procedure of the argument of debt. But in terms of the aspect of tenancy and land it puts a whole different complexion on it. There is no law we can effectively argue if the tenancy is based on our being weak, careless, slothful and

stupid. The reality is that your land has been properly inclosed, circumscribed, properly surveyed and registered and we challenge that land title.

In terms of land title and registration... a number of you have been asking where our public record is, and our public notice. They trick us in their system with public notice. The real public notice is the gazette (newspaper) system, and the gazette is a coin of Venice. These functions in our system will be turned on in a matter of days. But it has taken a long time to put the structure in place. We are now able to reflect our own procedures and actions on public record and public notice. Here is the significance: when instruments are created on our system you will be asked to create an actual record that will be part of the public record and register. If what you have done is valid, you will receive a number and that number needs to be incorporated in the instrument and is the title and recognizes this document is part of the public record. It will be published on the 60 plus websites of the Ucadia network. In terms of public notice and international exposure, it will be a formidable system. There are rules on how to record properly and you will have to follow them properly. You will no longer be denied as they do in the Roman system in putting things on the public record.

Spirit and earth changes: I keep getting asked is one I'd like to know the answer to: will everything be okay, or will the world come to a grinding halt? Here is one answer: if the future of the world depended solely on those living getting their act together, we are already doomed. If we are relying purely on the living without considering the spiritual dimensions, we are doomed. 1/3 of the world wants it to end, 1/3 don't care, and 1/3 think they are responsible to save the world and don't want it to end. The awakening is slow. Read the covenant of one-heaven from the beginning. The war has ended in the spirit. Whether the parasites stay in power for another hundred years is irrelevant. From a spiritual perspective it is a done deal. The miracle demonstrated day after day is the spiritual side and is already having an effect. We are dragging the chain. The spiritual is locked into this and is moving forward. People's dire predictions are failing to materialize. That doesn't mean we aren't going to see climate change, but the world will change despite the living.

Take a broader look if you have the weight of the world on your shoulders. The future and safety of our world isn't up to us. The ideas of curse, hell, damnation have been rendered null and void, ab initio. Thank you all for your support and those who continue to help in financial assistance.

Dean: thanks for all that you are doing. Earlier you spoke about people not acting as the true executor. One thing I thought of is that our education system has deprived people of the tools needed to approach subjects. Tribian education teaches you the basic 3, the general parameters, formal logic and rhetoric. This is not in documents but in your ability to express yourselves. In public education they have deliberately not taught this. Also use this in conjunction with the enormous information provided by you in the canons. It is called Tribium Education (?). How can one volunteer to help Ucadia? Frank: with the features of public records and notice being turned on, it will turn on the beginnings of the tools and ability for groups to form and communicate at a local level. People have been waiting for formal direction. I hope you can direct whatever time you can make available to your grass roots groups that form campuses, then provinces, and then universities. Then we are building a bottom up system and remember I am removing myself and my influence. Can you stop something with no head that is grass roots? Dean: it will be a force to be reckoned with.

What do you know about abatement? What about your right on the freehold? Frank: abatement or inclosure are two weapons used by the Roman system to deprive our rights. We have covered land. We will have a Ucadian land registration system which is the only system that is able to

demonstrate the succession in hierarchy in ownership and enclosure that deprives the Roman system of enclosure. Only when we can bring our grass roots survey and we can demonstrate procedure in law can we challenge the title. We will do and are doing this. There are a number of places where the land title system can come under the indigenous systems. Title and land are tricky. Abatement does relate to land, registration, rights, mining rights, the ability of people coming on to do what they want to the land we think we own. Let me come back on another call on this topic where I can do justice to it.

Question: can we download the canons in PDF? Frank: not yet, but you can go to university.ucadia.info have some canons that have been converted as well as some of the texts.

Question: will there be an executor oath? Frank: you have the divine, true and superior trusts. The divine-beneficiary, the true-mind is executor, the superior you are the trustee. Your membership in Ucadia is at the true trust level and the oath will be updated to include executor.

Ron: this is a general question and it does relate to my case; would it be advisable to do a motion to dismiss based on the 12 presumptions of the Roman Court. Rebutting the presumptions of the 12 items of the Roman Court. Frank: I feel the 12 presumptions cover such a wide area without falling into kitchen sinking. It's very difficult to solve in one document. I do think you can rebut a number of those presumptions. A motion to dismiss in your case would come after revoking the power of attorney, providing you have changed the standing, or provided in effect a demurrer, challenging the jurisdiction and presumptions they believe are in place. What is the public form? You have done the revocation of the public defender. Then demonstrate a change in status and then you are ready for the dismissal. Otherwise you are over stretching.

Question: will there be holidays under Ucadia? Frank: yes that will be at the grass roots level, the campus and university level. Question: a writ associated with infants and deprivation of rights of infants and the right to deprive rights of infants. Frank: I haven't done enough work yet researching it and I would be surprised it is part vindication that we have been saying that at birth they make us a ward and at age 7 declare us intestate. The flesh is a ward, and then intestate or 'dead'. This writ probably has a key role to play.

Alpha 999—what happens if the internet is censored; how would you keep in contact with members? Frank: I would hope there would be an electronic **8:25** more answer.....

Question: how do I put suggestions for changes? Frank: for now put them on the chats at university.ucadia.info. Eventually I hope to have direct editing for teams that are helping. All recommendations are gratefully accepted as this is a model. This is a collective and open source.

Question: in term of court procedures I have been wanting to call the local DA and asking what type of law the courts operate under. They would not answer where the rules of procedure that explain the system of law. Frank: they call the form of the law *lex formus* and they call the rule of court *lex loci* and it is a fancy way of using Latin and then make it up as they like. It is defined in statute and training and it is open ended as the system changes. Is it a commercial matter or a criminal matter or is it a court of equity, is it dealing with contracts? It does change. They introduce different procedures when it is dealing with trust law and some with evidence. Some with jurisdiction and they bring different elements into play. Not every jurisdiction uses exactly the same procedures. It makes portability of knowledge difficult. This is another reason why the principles, understanding the principles are key and their procedures continue to change. Look at

Blacks and how the definitions change as they are an intrinsic part of procedure. The definitions keep changing on key words.

Ray: I own the mineral rights on my property and I believe that is secondary because I had to go to a secondary signing for the mineral rights. If they took the house from me, I would still have the mineral rights. Do I have them over the barrel, technically by owning the mineral rights?
Frank: the concept of mineral rights didn't emerge until the California gold rush and the word used in the distinction of water rights ... the right to dig up the land what was separate to the right of tenancy and the argument was first use. Mineral rights are effectively an enclosure or privatization of rights that has no provenance in the history of law. It is a legal presumption that seems to have been carried through. There is no provenance in Roman, Christian, Byzantine, Anglo Saxon, or any law. It appeared and is administered under bankruptcy. Ray: I'm licensed also. So they would have to have someone else with a license to 'fight' with me. Ray: Alpha 999, would you repeat his question? Frank: give the background on the original tribes and the 5 worlds. There have been 5 worlds and we are going through all the creation songs. It's in the original language and tells the whole story of the people. The won't allow participants will allow interpretations but they will keep everything 'in house'. There will be one in Ohio, south of Cleveland, then 6 nations in February. The Cherokees—it's difficult to get to the traditionals. That is the eastern Cherokees and I have to make better relations with the western Cherokees. There is another that will be on the 21st. I'm trying to reach out to the Salish and Susquah (?). A lot was lost as they killed off anyone with any knowledge so they would have control. Frank thanks for your help in the awakening of the nations, singing the songs, to our mother, earth. Ray: all people are native, and as long as they get the true knowledge from the past, not what they found in books. We need all people. Frank: share with them the comments tonight regarding the writing and vocal. Ray: they won't write it down.

Question: JC: should we notify the UN about Ucadia? Frank: there is a time to do that but not for UN recognition which we do not need, nor do we need their permission. I hop you and your communities and your leaders will seek the recognition. It won't be me or anyone presuming to do this on your behalf; this will be up to the communities.

Question: how will Ucadia deal with education of the youth and the curriculum? Frank: there is iconographic language, phonetic, vocal and a language representing the funins of sound (an alphabetic language). They are not quite in place. The structures we have developed in Ucadia are so powerful that when we introduced this into symbols and sound, I think we will be able to transform knowledge and education forever in a way that has never been seen before. You can see the symbol language on Ucadia but the phonetic and alphabetic are not available yet. It's a powerful system based on the return to a unified knowledge of sound and meaning that is embedded in all unified languages.

V: I sent a package about a month ago and don't have confirmation. Frank: it was magnificent and thank you so much. It was about the history of the nations of great turtle island, and some wonderful samples of maple syrup. Thank you for that. Question: did you look over the documents on Puget Sound from Michael Grady. Frank: I've read it and I haven't come to any conclusion other than some doubt on administrative procedure. The knowledge that you provided is of great value and it has helped me and you will see that it ties in with what we talked to Ray about in the unity of healing with the first peoples. It's also about land rights and proper administration. I feel some of the legal documents have some flaws, but I haven't worked on them. V: I just wanted to see that you were familiar with Mike Grady, and the treaty of Puget Sound. Also, back to something earlier about networking. I posted on Ucadia on getting a pamphlet done. We have a cultural fair here and I'd like a Ucadia pamphlet, something I could

take off the website. Frank: that is a fantastic idea and I have emails from people with desktop publishing. It's got to be done at a local level where you can connect with the people with the pamphlet design skills. In the short term put a notice up on U of U for this specific project to help you to achieve this. In the next few weeks when we get the work benches up perhaps you can help organize this at a local level. But put your notice up on U of U. V: what about the campus forms? Frank: they are completed and I have held back to make sure the work bench is working. Some will be electronic and some will be PDF. We will have forms to formalize the campuses as each campus holds the power in Ucadia and is its own foundation system. The money system is campus by campus with its own trust account. I'll need another week and a half.

Question: guest 50: why are the elite banking families immune from prosecution? Frank: that is excellent and I wish people would ask that more often of Obama, US congress and Senate and others in Europe. The banking families claim ownership of the private corporation's network since the 19th century. Things unfolded from Edward Longshanks, Gregory and how they set up the serfs, the treasury, rendered all commerce in the world to the Venetian parasite families and then usury. By the 19th century these families that were serving the Vatican bankrupted the British Empire and leapfrogged to a corporate system of ownership and the power shifted at that time.

Ron: as you know the entry into the court system is with a summons or an indictment. In my case the indictment was served June, 2010. Would it be advisable to create a rejection notice, glue it to the back, and provide that as an exhibit? Frank: that is a missing link. First, we are gluing things to the back because unless it is a public document they cannot see it. It's absurd and it's a one-sided game. The front page of the indictment, even a copy, is recognized as a public document and they have no problem with copies as they don't give us originals. When you do that you have the reverse of the documents. Look at documents under positive law and see article 22, positive law, to understand the reverse side. When you strongly bind your private document to their document, you have made your private document public and this is legitimate and they are well aware of this. This is a rare historical fundamental of what a document is. Going back to the un rebutted presumption of the indictment is the answer. You haven't rebutted the indictment. Once you revoke the power of attorney, that is the next step. Ron: should I use that as an exhibit and put it in with one of their court forms? Frank: the court record for the docket is the workings of the case and that is secondary to the physical... let me say it this way: it is easy to get confused between public record and public notice and they are quite distinct and they deliberately confuse us. You have a requirement to do both as an executor. The conversion of the instrument into the exhibit is a form of public record, but public notice is warranted. Ron: that would be the recording of the notice of rejection on the docket. Frank: we will identify in our system that public notice in their system with the notarial public notice, constructive public notice and literal public notice. You need to get it to the publisher and being allowed to publish. The summons and indictment are the 2 forms of actions, so I suggest they must be rebutted to move forward.

Question: what are your thoughts on appealing foreclosure months after eviction? Frank: appeal is an acknowledgment of previous mistakes; appeal would recognize their jurisdiction, claims of rights and authority. Appeal is a limited window of claim. In some cases they would be valid. But in most cases an appeal would be of no effect. As we just brought forward, your tenancy in common gives you absolutely no right and you are beholden to their system. They are within rights under their statutes. You have to challenge from the principles' process.

Question: will we be able to do will and rebuttal soon? Frank: I need a few more days. The work benches were a priority before I go back to physical documents.

Ray: Alpha 999 here is what we have—we have short wave club; hook up with one in your area and make sure they have a generator. This is what you need when the communications are shut down. Frank: that is their standard operating procedures. Ray: we can cooperate with others and get things sent all over the world. Also with that they don't figure out where you are. You can get receivers and have to connect with one of the locals. I am close to a CB club here that has a generator.

Question: we know who we are and we are the occupier of the office of the general executor, isn't it up to us to clean house? Frank: yes it is and when it comes down to it and they have lied till the cows come home they will finally look at you and say you are finally acting as an executor. It is trickery, pedantry, and thievery. The question: do we go through the books to see what contracts are out there and address them. Frank: we need to demand a tracing and this will be worrisome to their system when it is done in bankruptcy where they are leaving themselves exposed. When we know who we are bankruptcy is an excellent opportunity to demand a tracing and lets them know we know who we are.

Question: have all the writs gone out for August 15th? Frank: yes they have and thanks to everyone. The writs of June 12 and August 15 will be consolidated and put up on the sites for you to see.

Question: regarding ideas and properties and rights and use... have you read..._____.

Frank: I haven't read it and I will go and have a look in the next few weeks.

Question: where is the EDP box? Frank: look at the home page on the any of the court sites and you will see it there. The court site will be a center for standard forms, public record, mirroring cases that are brought forward so it is more appropriate to locate it at the court site. It is globe-union-court.org

Thank you all for coming on and it's a lot of information and I appreciate all your support and any criticism. This is a model that needs to be tested, debated and refined. I hope the material you come across on Ucadia you find beneficial and gives you the tools you need to help you and those you care about. I'll be here next week at the same time.