

#### **Bureau of Justice Statistics**

## BULLETIN

December 2009, NCJ 228230

# Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008


Lauren E. Glaze and Thomas P. Bonczar BIS Statisticians

t yearend 2008, 5,095,200 offenders were under community supervision—the equivalent of about 1 in every 45 adults in the United States (figure 1). The community supervision population includes adults on probation and adults on parole. Probationers (4,270,917) represented the majority (84%) of offenders under community supervision. Parolees (828,169) accounted for a significantly smaller share (16%) of this population.<sup>1</sup>

The data discussed in this report and additional 2008 data are available by jurisdiction in the appendix tables, following *Methodology*.

#### Figure 1.

Total number of adults under community supervision and on probation or parole, 2000-08



### Highlights

- Nearly 5.1 million adults were under community supervision at yearend 2008—the equivalent of about 1 in every 45 adults in the United States.
- Probationers (4,270,917) represented the majority (84%) of the community supervision population in 2008; parolees (828,169) accounted for a smaller share (16%).
- The probation (0.9%) and parole (0.9%) populations grew at the same rate during 2008. The probation population increased by 36,446 probationers while the parole population increased by 6,992 parolees during the year.
- Growth in the probation population has slowed in recent years to an average of 0.7% annually between 2003 and 2008 from an average of 2.5% annually between 2000 and 2003.

- The probation exit rate increased from 53 per 100 probationers in 2006 to 55 per 100 in 2008.
- The increase in the probation exit rate was associated with an increase in probationers discharged after completing the terms of supervision (58% in 2006; 63% in 2008).
- During 2008 growth in the parole population slowed to about a third of the average annual increase between 2005 and 2007 (2.6%), the fastest period of growth in the parole population since 2000.
- The parole exit rate increased from 67 per 100 parolees in 2007 to 70 per 100 in 2008. This increase was associated with an increase in parolees discharged after completing the terms of supervision (46% in 2007; 49% in 2008).


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Probation is a court-ordered period of correctional supervision in the community generally as an alternative to incarceration. In some cases probation can be a combined sentence of incarceration followed by a period of community supervision. Parole is a period of conditional supervised release in the community following a prison term.

During 2008 the community supervision population grew 0.9%, or by 43,100 offenders (appendix table 1). Between 2000 and 2003 this population experienced its fastest rate of growth (2.4% annually), growing at a rate three times faster than the average annual increase (0.8%) between 2003 and 2008.

The probation population increased 0.9% (or 36,446 probationers) during 2008 (appendix table 2). The fastest period of growth (2.5% annually) in the probation population occurred between 2000 and 2003. Since 2003 the average annual rate of growth in the probation population has slowed. Between 2003 and 2008 the probation population increased at an average annual rate (0.7%) that was less than a third of its average annual increase between 2000 and 2003.

Similar to the increase in the probation population, the parole population rose 0.9% (or 6,992 parolees) during 2008 (appendix table 12). Between 2000 and 2003 the parole population increased an average of 2.1% annually before slowing to an average increase of 0.7% per year between 2003 and 2005. The fastest period of growth in the parole population since 2000 occurred between 2005 and 2007 (2.6% annually). During 2008 (0.9%), growth in the parole population slowed to about a third of the rate of growth observed between 2005 and 2007.

## Figure 2. National estimates of probation entries and exits, 2000-08



Note: Estimates are not comparable to previously published BJS reports. See *Methodology*.

#### Probation has accounted for about 82% of the growth in community supervision since 2000

During the past eight years the number of offenders supervised in the community has increased by more than half a million (545,100), from an estimated 4.6 million in 2000 to nearly 5.1 million in 2008. The increase in the community supervision population largely reflected the growth in the probation population during this period. The number of probationers increased from 3.8 million in 2000 to almost 4.3 million in 2008, representing approximately 82% (or 444,708) of the growth in the community supervision population between 2000 and 2008. During this period the parole population increased from 723,898 parolees to 828,169, representing a significantly smaller share (19% or 104,271) of the growth in the community supervision population.<sup>2,3</sup>

### Entries to probation declined in 2008 while exits from probation continued to rise

In addition to supervising nearly 4.3 million probationers in 2008, probation agencies received an estimated 2.4 million adults who were placed on probation, up from nearly 2.2 million in 2000 (figure 2).<sup>4</sup> These probation agencies discharged an estimated 2.3 million probationers from supervision in 2008, up from over 2.1 million in 2000.

<sup>4</sup>Entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies, and the estimation method for Pennsylvania changed in 2008. The national estimates of entries and exits are based on estimation methods for Pennsylvania from 2000 to 2007 that are comparable to 2008; therefore, the estimates are not comparable to previously published BJS reports. See *Methodology*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A small number (less than 1%) of the community supervision population was known to be on both probation and parole. For this reason the amount of growth represented by probationers (82%) and parolees (19%) does not add to 100%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Changes in the community supervision population, as well as the probation and parole populations, are based on data reported by the jurisdictions annually. While these data are specific to the reporting year, the reporting methods for some probation and parole agencies changed over time. See *Methodology*.

#### Correctional population increased 0.5% in 2008; the smallest annual increase since 2000

Over 7.3 million men and women were under some form of correctional supervision at yearend 2008, including offenders supervised in the community (5,095,200) on probation or parole and those incarcerated (2,304,100) in state or federal prisons and local jails (table 1). About 3.2% of adults in the U.S. resident population, or 1 in every 31 adults, were under correctional supervision at yearend 2008. This rate has remained fairly stable during the past eight years. The 0.5% (or 33,900 offenders) increase in the correctional population in 2008 was the smallest annual increase since 2000.

Offenders under community supervision (70%) made up the majority of the correctional population at yearend 2008, while inmates incarcerated in prison or jail accounted for 30%. These percentages have remained relatively unchanged since 2000. Similar to the slower rate of growth in the correctional population during 2008, the incarcerated population (0.3%) experienced its smallest increase since 2000. Additionally, the community supervision population grew less than 1% for the second year (0.7% in 2007; 0.9% in 2008).

While the incarcerated population experienced a faster average annual rate of growth (2.2%) than the community supervision population (1.4%) between 2000 and 2008, the community supervision population represented the majority of the growth in the correctional population. The total correctional population increased by 863,100 offenders between 2000 and 2008. Offenders supervised in the community represented 58% of the growth in the correctional population, while inmates incarcerated in prison or jail represented 42%.5

<sup>5</sup>Some offenders in prison or jail remained under the jurisdiction of a probation or parole agency. Information on calculating the growth of the total correctional population and accounting for offenders with dual correctional statuses is available in the Methodology.

Table 1. Number of persons under correctional supervision, 2000-08

	Total estimated correctional	Com	munity supervi	sion	Incarceration <sup>c</sup>			
Year	population <sup>a</sup>	Total <sup>b</sup>	Probation	Parole	Total	Jail	Prison	
2000	6,445,100	4,550,100	3,826,209	723,898	1,937,500	621,149	1,316,333	
2001	6,581,700	4,664,100	3,931,731	732,333	1,961,200	631,240	1,330,007	
2002	6,758,800	4,775,000	4,024,067	750,934	2,033,000	665,475	1,367,547	
2003	6,924,500	4,889,900	4,120,012	769,925	2,081,600	691,301	1,390,279	
2004	6,995,000	4,915,600	4,143,792	771,852	2,135,300	713,990	1,421,345	
2005	7,051,900	4,947,400	4,166,757	780,616	2,195,900	747,529	1,448,344	
2006	7,182,100	5,015,200	4,215,361	799,875	2,258,800	765,819	1,492,973	
2007 <sup>d</sup>	7,274,300	5,052,100	4,234,471	821,177	2,298,000	780,174	1,517,867	
2008	7,308,200	5,095,200	4,270,917	828,169	2,304,100	785,556	1,518,559	
Percent change, 2007-08	0.5 %	0.9 %	0.9 %	0.9 %	0.3 %	0.7 %	0.0 %	
Average annual percent change, 2000-08	1.6 %	1.4 %	1.4 %	1.7 %	2.2 %	3.0 %	1.8 %	

Note: Community supervision counts for 2008 are for December 31. Counts for 2000-07 are January 1 counts from the next reporting year because some agencies update their counts. Jail counts are for June 30 and prison counts are for December 31. Jail and prison counts include inmates held in private facilities. Estimates were rounded to the nearest 100. See Methodology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Because some offenders have multiple statuses, totals for 2007-08 exclude probationers and parolees held in jail or prison and parolees who were also under probation supervision. For 2008 data by jurisdiction, see appendix table 11 for probation and appendix table 22 for parole. Totals in 2005-06 exclude probationers and parolees held in jail or prison. Totals in 2000-04 only exclude probationers held in jail or prison. For these reasons, details do not sum to totals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Totals in all years include some offenders held in a prison or jail but who remained under the jurisdiction of a probation or parole agency. The 2007 and 2008 totals exclude parolees who were also on probation (3,562 in 2007; 3,905 in 2008). For 2008 data by jurisdiction, see appendix table 11 for probation and appendix table 22 for parole.

cIncludes inmates held in the custody of state and federal prisons and local jails. The custody population is not comparable to the jurisdiction population. See Prisoners in 2008, NCJ 228417 for definitions of both populations and the counts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Some states were unable to provide data for 2007. See *Methodology*.

In each year since 2000, the number of adults entering probation has exceeded the number exiting, and the probation population increased annually.6 In 2008 the number of entries to probation exceeded the number of exits by about 28,500. However, the number and rate of growth

Table 2. Estimated average daily probation population, number of exits, and exit rate per 100 probationers, 2000-08

Year	Estimated average daily probation population	Estimated probation exits <sup>b</sup>	Exit rate per 100 probationers <sup>c</sup>		
2000	3,809,700	2,123,700	56		
2001	3,880,500	2,025,600	52		
2002	3,963,400	2,092,900	53		
2003	4,049,000	2,208,200	55		
2004	4,130,300	2,224,100	54		
2005	4,153,100	2,238,300	54		
2006	4,201,900	2,230,200	53		
2007	4,254,300	2,315,800	54		
2008	4,252,700	2,340,800	55		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Based on the average of the January 1 and December 31 probation populations.

#### Table 3. Percent and estimated number of probationers who exited supervision, by type of exit, 2006-08

Type of exit	2006	2007	2008
Total	100%	100%	100%
Completion	58%	62%	63%
Incarceration <sup>a</sup>	18	16	17
Absconder	4	3	4
Discharged to custody, detainer, or warrant	1	1	1
Other unsatisfactory <sup>b</sup>	13	11	10
Transferred to another probation agency	1	1	1
Death	1	1	1
Other <sup>c</sup>	5	5	4
Estimated number <sup>d</sup>	2,230,200	2,315,800	2,340,800

Note: Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. Includes probationers for which type of exit was unknown. For 2008 data by jurisdiction, see appendix table 4.

in probation entries declined during the year while exits continued to increase. Probation entries decreased by 1.0% (down 23,000 probationers) while exits increased 1.1% (up 25,000).

From 2000 to 2006 the average annual increase in probation entries (0.9%) was slightly larger than exits (0.8%), and the probation population increased by an average of 1.6% per year. Since 2006 the growth in exits has, on average, outpaced the growth in entries. From 2006 to 2008 probation exits increased an average of 2.4% annually while entries increased 1.5%, and growth in the probation population slowed to an average annual rate of 0.7%.

#### Increase in probation exit rate since 2006 was associated with an increase in probationers completing supervision

The probation exit rate is a measure of how quickly the probation population turns over and how long probationers remain under supervision. The exit rate for all probationers was 55 per 100 probationers in 2008 (table 2). This means that more than half of the probation population was discharged from supervision during the year. Since 2006 (53 per 100) the exit rate for all probationers has continued to increase, and the average annual rate of growth in the probation population has slowed.

The increase in the exit rate since 2006 was associated with an increase in the percentage of probationers discharged after either completing their full-term probation sentence or receiving an early discharge. Of the estimated 2,230,200 probationers discharged from supervision during 2006, 58% had either completed their fullterm probation sentence or received an early discharge (table 3). By 2008 the rate of completion rose to 63% of the estimated 2,340,800 probationers discharged during the year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See Methodology for a discussion on entries and exits to probation and parole and changes in the number of offenders in these populations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Estimates are not comparable to previously published BJS reports. Exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See Methodology for more details and appendix table 2 for 2008 reported totals by jurisdiction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>The exit rate was calculated by dividing the number of estimated probation exits by the average daily probation population and multiplying by 100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes probationers who were incarcerated for a new offense and those who had their current probation sentence revoked (e.g. violating a condition of their sentence).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Includes probationers discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, including some with only financial conditions remaining, some who had their probation sentence revoked but were not incarcerated because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Includes probationers discharged from supervision through a legislative mandate, because they were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement, had their sentence dismissed or overturned by the court through an appeal, had their sentence closed administratively, deferred, or terminated by the court, were awaiting a hearing, were released on bond, and other types of exits.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Estimates are not comparable to previously published BJS reports. Exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See Methodology for more details and appendix table 2 for 2008 reported totals by jurisdiction.

#### The increase in the probation population during 2008 was partially offset by declines in five states with probation populations over 100,000

During 2008, 30 states and the District of Columbia reported an increase in their probation populations, accounting for a total increase of 74,829 probationers during the year (figure 3). Georgia (17,877) reported the largest absolute increase in its probation population, followed by Colorado (11,278), Pennsylvania (9,986), Arizona (5,402), and Florida (3,506). States that reported the smallest increases include Alaska (180), Kansas (132), New Mexico (109), and Wyoming (80).

Growth in the probation population was partially offset by a decrease of 38,383 probationers in 20 other states and the federal system. Nearly three-quarters (72%) of this decrease occurred in five states, each of which had a probation population of more than 100,000. Those five states include California (-9,602) which reported the largest absolute decrease in its probation population, followed by Texas (-7,226), Michigan (-5,704), Washington (-2,757), and New York (-2,209). States that reported the smallest declines in their probation populations during the year include Nevada (-124), Vermont (-117), New Hampshire (-101), and Montana (-34).

#### Figure 3. Change in the probation population, 2008



# Majority of probationers were under active supervision at yearend 2008; 1 in 12 were absconders

The majority (71%) of probationers were on active supervision at yearend 2008 (appendix table 5). Probationers on active supervision are required to regularly report to a probation authority in person, by telephone, or by mail.

Probationers on inactive supervision (8%) were not required to report regularly and accounted for a smaller share of the population. Absconders who had failed to report and could not be located also accounted for 8% of the probation population. About 6% of probationers had a warrant issued for their arrest, and 7% were on other statuses.


# About 3 in 10 probationers were drug offenders in 2008, up from about a quarter in 2000

The most common type of offense for which probationers were under supervision in 2008 was a drug offense. About 3 in 10 probationers were under supervision for a drug offense in 2008, up from about a quarter (24%) of all probationers in 2000.

A quarter (25%) of probationers were under supervision for a property offense in 2008, up from 23% in 2004. The share of probationers under supervision for a violent offense (19%) remained unchanged between 2004 and 2008. Data on probationers supervised for property and violent offenses were not collected prior to 2002.

#### Figure 4.

National estimates of parole entries and exits, 2000-08



Note: Estimates are not comparable to previously published BJS reports. See *Methodology*.

Public-order offenders, including those supervised for a DWI or other traffic offense, represented a smaller share of the probation population in 2008 (17%) compared to 2000 (24%).

Other changes in the composition of the probation population during the last eight years include a larger share of women in 2008 (24%), compared to 2000 (22%). White probationers also represented a larger share of the probation population in 2008 (56%), compared to 2000 (54%). During this period the percentage of black probationers declined from 31% in 2000 to 29% in 2008. The percentage of Hispanic probationers and probationers of other races have remained fairly stable.

#### Parole exits grew at a faster rate than entries in 2008, and growth in the parole population slowed

In 2008, 828,169 offenders were under parole supervision, an increase of 6,992 parolees from the previous year. The growth in the parole population during 2008 (0.9%) was a third of the rate of growth during 2007 (2.7%).

From 2000 to 2008, parole entries grew from an estimated 484,400 to 581,000; parole exits increased from an estimated 473,900 to 574,000 (figure 4). Since 2000 the size of the parole population has grown each year as the number of adults entering parole supervision has exceeded the number exiting.8 In 2008 the parole population grew 0.9% as the number of entries exceeded exits by 7,000. However, the rate of growth in the population slowed during 2008 as exits from parole grew 5.6%, more than two and half times faster than the growth in entries to parole (2.1%). The slower growth rate in entries was consistent with the smaller increase in the number of inmates conditionally released from prison to community supervision during 2008. (See Prisoners in 2008, available online at <a href="http:/">http:// /www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/p08.htm>.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies, and the estimation method for Pennsylvania changed in 2008. See footnote 4 and *Methodology*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See *Methodology* for a discussion on entries and exits to probation and parole and changes in the number of offenders in these populations.

Between 2000 and 2003, the growth in parole entries (1.5% per year) outpaced exits (0.9% per year), and the parole population grew by an average of 2.1% annually. Parole exits (3.2% annually) grew, on average, faster than entries (2.3% annually) between 2003 and 2005, and the growth in the parole population slowed (0.7% annually). The average increase (2.6% annually) in the parole population rose again between 2005 and 2007. During this period the average annual rate of growth in parole entries (3.6%) was faster than the growth in parole exits (2.5%). In 2008 the growth in the parole population slowed again as the number of exits from parole increased at a faster rate than the number of entries to parole.

#### Rise in parole exit rate during 2008 was associated with an increase in parolees completing the terms of their supervision

The exit rate for all parolees was 70 per 100 in 2008 (table 4). This exit rate indicates that 70% of the parole population was discharged from supervision at some point during the year. Between 2005 and 2007 (67 per 100 for both years) the parole exit rate remained fairly stable. During this period as the exit rate remained stable and entries continued to rise, the parole population experienced its fastest average rate of growth (2.6% per year) since 2000.

As the exit rate for all parolees increased from 2007 to 2008, growth in the parole population slowed (0.9%). Growth in the parole exit rate during 2008 was associated with an increase in the percentage of parolees who were either discharged from supervision either after completing their full-term sentence or receiving an early discharge. Of the estimated 543,600 parolees discharged from supervision in 2007, 46% had met the conditions of their supervision and served their full-term sentence or received an early discharge (table 5). The rate of completion increased to almost half (49%) of the estimated 574,000 parolees who were discharged during 2008.

Table 4. Estimated average daily parole population, number of exits, and exit rate per 100 parolees, 2000-08

Year	Estimated average daily parole population <sup>a</sup>	Estimated parole exits <sup>b</sup>	Exit rate per 100 parolees <sup>c</sup>
2000	720,000	473,900	66
2001	727,500	479,200	66
2002	742,700	462,500	62
2003	762,200	486,100	64
2004	772,900	515,700	67
2005	778,100	517,900	67
2006	789,400	532,200	67
2007	813,000	543,600	67
2008	824,700	574,000	70

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Based on the average of the January 1 and December 31 parole populations.

#### Table 5. Percent and estimated number of parolees who exited supervision, by type of exit, 2006-08

Type of exit	2006	2007	2008		
Total	100%	100%	100%		
Completion	45%	46%	49%		
Incarceration	38	38	36		
With new sentence	11	10	9		
With revocation	26	27	25		
Other/unknown	2	1	1		
Absconder	11	11	11		
Other unsatisfactory <sup>a</sup>	2	2	2		
Transferred to another state	1	1	1		
Death	1	1	1		
Other <sup>b</sup>	3	2	1		
Estimated number <sup>c</sup>	532,200	543,600	574,000		

Note: Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. Includes parolees for which type of exit was unknown. For 2008 data by jurisdiction, see appendix

<sup>a</sup>Includes parolees discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, including some who had their parole sentence revoked but were not returned to incarceration because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

<sup>b</sup>Includes parolees discharged from supervision because they were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), had their sentence terminated by the court through an appeal, were transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement or discharged to probation supervision, and other types of exits.

<sup>c</sup>Estimates are not comparable to previously published BJS reports. Exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See Methodology for more details and appendix table 12 for 2008 reported totals by jurisdiction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Estimates are not comparable to previously published BJS reports. Exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See Methodology for more details and appendix table 12 for 2008 reported totals by jurisdiction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>The exit rate was calculated by dividing the number of estimated parole exits by the average daily parole population and multiplying by 100.

#### Slowing rate of growth in parole population during 2008 was related to the overall decline in state parole

During 2008, 34 states, the District of Columbia, and the federal system reported an increase in their parole populations, amounting to a total of 22,081 parolees (figure 5). The federal system (7,234) reported the largest absolute increase in the nation, followed by Missouri (2,027) and Michigan (1,392). The smallest increases occurred in Utah (29), Wyoming (21), Delaware (16), and New Hampshire (8).


The federal system accounted for a third of the total increase in the parole population in 2008. Growth in the federal parole population exceeded the total change in the nation's parole population (6,992) during the year. Contributing to the rise in the federal parole population was the significantly faster growth in entries (9%) to federal parole supervision, compared to exits (0.4%) (not shown in graph).

Fifteen states reported a decline in their parole populations for a total decrease of 14,860 parolees during 2008. In absolute numbers Pennsylvania (-5,516) and California (-3,011) reported the largest declines among the states that reported a decrease, accounting for more than half (55%) of the decrease in the parole population during 2008. The smallest declines in the number of parolees occurred in Maine (-1) and Oregon (-1).

Among the 50 states and the District of Columbia, the total change in the parole population amounted to an estimated decline of 242, which was offset by the increase in the federal parole population during the year. Although the federal parole population grew 7.9% during 2008, the overall decline in state parole contributed to slowing the growth in the nation's parole population to 0.9% during the year (not shown in graph).

#### Figure 5.

Change in the parole population, 2008



\*Includes an estimated decrease for Virginia. Virginia was excluded from the graph because the state could not provide data for the January 1, 2008 population. See *Methodology*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Excludes Virginia because the state could not provide data for January 1. See *Methodology*.

#### About 85% of all parolees were required to regularly report to a parole authority in 2008: about 6% were absconders

In 2008, 85% of parolees were on active parole supervision and were required to regularly report to a parole authority in person, by mail, or by telephone (appendix table 15). Another 6% of the parole population were classified as absconders and could not be located, while 4% were on inactive supervision and were not required to regularly report to a parole authority. The remaining 5% of parolees were on other statutes.

#### Most parolees were under supervision for a drug offense; whites accounted for about 4 in 10 parolees in 2008

In 2008 parolees were more likely to have served a sentence for a drug offense (37%) than any other type of offense, down slightly from 38% in 2004. Property offenders represented a smaller share of the parole population in 2008 (23%) compared to 2004 (26%), while violent offenders accounted for a slightly larger share of the parole population in 2008 (26%) compared to 2004 (25%). Prior to 2002 no offense data on parolees were collected; 2008 was the first year that weapon offense data were collected separately.

The parole population was predominantly male in 2008 (88%), and this percentage has remained unchanged since 2000. White parolees represented 41% of the parole population at yearend 2008, up from 38% in 2000. Black and Hispanic parolees represented smaller shares of the population during this period. Black parolees made up 38% of the population in 2008, down from 40% in 2000. Hispanic parolees accounted for 19% of the population, down from 21% in 2000.

#### Methodology

Begun in 1980 the Annual Probation Survey and the Annual Parole Survey collect data on the total number of adults supervised in the community on January 1 and December 31 each year and data on the number of adults who enter and exit supervision during each year. Both surveys cover all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the federal system. The Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) depends entirely upon the voluntary participation of state central reporters and separate state, county, and court agencies for its annual data on probation and parole.

In 2008 the U.S. Census Bureau served as the BJS collection agent for the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Data for the federal system were provided directly to BJS through the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program (FJSP), which obtained data directly from the Office of Probation and Pretrial Services, Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

#### Probation

The 2008 Annual Probation Survey was sent to 467 respondents—33 central state reporters, 432 separate state, county, or court agencies, the District of Columbia, and the federal system. States with multiple reporters were Alabama (3), Arizona (2), Colorado (8), Florida (41), Georgia (2), Idaho (2), Kentucky (3), Michigan (134), Missouri (2), Montana (4), New Mexico (2), Ohio (187), Oklahoma (3), Pennsylvania (2), Tennessee (3), Washington (32), and West Virginia (2).

#### Parole

The 2008 Annual Parole Survey was sent to 55 respondents-51 central state reporters, the California Youth Authority, one municipal agency in Alabama, the state agency in Pennsylvania which also provided county data, and the federal system. States with multiple reporters were Alabama (2), California (2), and Pennsylvania (2). Virginia was not able to provide data for January 1, 2008. Additional details for Virginia are provided under Estimating the January 1, 2008 parole population in Virginia.

Federal parole (as defined here) includes a term of supervised release from prison, mandatory release, parole, military parole, and special parole. Definitional differences exist between parole reported here and in other BJS data series.

Additional information about the data collection instruments is available online at <a href="http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/correct.htm#pandp">http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/correct.htm#pandp</a> (last accessed October 20, 2009).

Updating probation and parole population counts each year

Some states update their probation and parole population counts for different reasons after submitting the data to BJS. Updated population counts usually include data that were not entered into the information system before the survey was submitted or data that were not fully processed by yearend. For these reasons and with the exception of the data reported for 2008, the population counts on December 31 for years ending 2000 to 2007 are based on the January 1 counts for the next reporting year. Population counts for yearend 2008 are based on December 31, 2008 data.

Estimating the January 1, 2008 parole population in Virginia

Virginia was unable to provide data for January 1, 2008. The respondent was able to provide an estimate of the change (5% decrease) in Virginia's parole population during 2008. The estimated decline was used to impute the January 1, 2008 parole population for Virginia (estimated at 4,700).

Changes in reporting methods among probation agencies within certain jurisdictions from 2000 to 2008

Nine reporting agencies in separate jurisdictions changed their methods of reporting probation data between 2000 and 2008. These changes included administrative changes, such as consolidating databases or implementing new information systems, resulting in data review and cleanup, reclassifying offenders, including those on probation to parole and offenders on dual community supervision statuses, and including certain probation populations that were not previously reported. This section discusses those nine reporting agencies. It also discusses the year the change occurred and the total change in the jurisdiction's probation population, as well as the total change in the jurisdiction's probation population between 2000 and 2008. Combined, changes in population and changes due to new

reporting methods for these nine jurisdictions accounted for 207,900 additional probationers between 2000 and 2008, representing approximately 47% of the total change (444,708) in the nation's probation population during this period. BJS was unable to precisely break out the amount of change in the probation population attributable to a change in population versus a change in reporting methods based on the information provided.

Three Alabama agencies respond to the Annual Probation Survey. The state agency changed its method of reporting probation data beginning with its January 1, 2006 population by including certain probationers in the population whose statuses had previously been classified as other than a probationer in prior years. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 9,600 additional probationers in Alabama's total population reported between December 31, 2005 (38,995) and January 1, 2006 (48,607). The total change in Alabama's probation population was about 13,100 additional probationers between 2000 and 2008.

The District of Columbia changed its method of reporting probationers beginning with its January 1, 2008 population because probationers who were on active supervision and awaiting approval for a transfer through an interstate compact agreement were excluded from the prior years' data. The reporting change resulted in a difference of nearly 1,600 additional probationers between the December 31, 2007 (6,485) and January 1, 2008 (8,073) populations reported by the District of Columbia. The total change in the District of Columbia's probation population between 2000 and 2008 was a decline of about 2,100 probationers.

Two Georgia state agencies respond to the Annual Probation Survey. The state agency that provides misdemeanant data of probationers supervised by private agencies changed its reporting methods beginning with its January 1, 2007 population when it expanded coverage. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 9,600 additional probationers in Georgia's total population reported between December 31, 2006 (422,790) and January 1, 2007 (432,436). The same agency experienced another reporting change beginning with its January 1, 2008 population when it excluded probationers under supervision for a minor traffic citation. This reporting change resulted in a decline of nearly 56,200 probationers in Georgia's total population reported between December 31, 2007 (435,361) and January 1, 2008 (379,204). The total change

in Georgia's probation population was about 75,700 additional probationers between 2000 and 2008. Additionally, because the state agency that experienced reporting changes has the capacity to report probation cases and not the number of individuals under supervision, the counts may have overstated the total number of individuals under probation supervision in Georgia.

Maryland changed its method of reporting probationers beginning with its January 1, 2007 population when it expanded the scope of its probation population to include certain DWI offenders who had previously been excluded from its population. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 18,400 additional probationers between the December 31, 2006 (75,698) and January 1, 2007 (94,100) populations reported by the state. The total change in Maryland's probation population was approximately 14,800 additional probationers between 2000 and 2008.

Massachusetts changed its method of reporting probationers beginning with its January 1, 2003 population when it classified certain types of offenders, who had been previously excluded from the state's probation data, as probationers based on new guidelines. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 87,300 additional probationers between the December 31, 2002 (44,013) and January 1, 2003 (131,319) populations reported by Massachusetts. The state experienced a similar change in reporting methods beginning with its January 1, 2004 population. This reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 39,300 additional probationers between the December 31, 2003 (127,135) and January 1, 2004 (166,464) populations reported by the state. The total change in Massachusetts' probation population between 2000 and 2008 was an increase of about 139,100.

Two New Mexico agencies respond to the Annual Probation Survey. The state agency changed its method of reporting probation data beginning with its January 1, 2003 population when its information system was modified to include certain probationers who had been previously excluded from its population. The reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 4,700 additional probationers in New Mexico's total population reported between December 31, 2002 (11,626) and January 1, 2003 (16,287). The state agency experienced another

reporting change beginning with its January 1, 2006 population because the agency's information system did not have the capacity to report probationers on statuses other than active supervision. This reporting change resulted in a decline of about 3,700 in New Mexico's total probation population reported between December 31, 2005 (18,706) and January 1, 2006 (14,982). The state agency changed its method of reporting probationers again beginning with its January 1, 2007 population when its capacity to report data, including probationers on different types of supervision statuses, was enhanced. The reporting change resulted in a difference of nearly 1,400 additional probationers in New Mexico's total population reported between December 31, 2006 (16,493) and January 1, 2007 (17,878). The total change in New Mexico's probation population was an increase of about 10,400 between 2000 and 2008.

New York changed its method of reporting probation data for two different reasons beginning with its January 1, 2003 population. First, the state reconciled the status of certain probationers in its information system based on new guidelines. Second, the probation data reported prior to January 1, 2003 were case counts, and not counts of individuals. The reporting change resulted in a decrease of nearly 65,100 probationers between the December 31, 2002 (198,042) and January 1, 2003 (132,966) populations reported by New York. The total change in New York's probation population was a decrease of about 67,600 between 2000 and 2008.

Pennsylvania's state agency provides both state and county probation data. The agency changed its method of reporting county probation data, starting with the December 31, 2004 population, by reconciling the status of certain offenders who were previously classified as being on a dual probation and parole status. The reporting change resulted in a difference of nearly 30,000 additional probationers in Pennsylvania's total population reported between January 1, 2004 (137,206) and December 31, 2004 (167,180). The total change in Pennsylvania's probation population was an increase of approximately 65,800 probationers between 2000 and 2008.

Washington has 32 agencies that respond to the Annual Probation Survey. The state agency changed its method of reporting probation data beginning with its January 1, 2004 population when the agency reclassified certain offenders on

supervised release following a prison term from probationers to parolees. The change resulted in a decrease of nearly 25,100 in Washington's total probation population reported between December 31, 2003 (172,814) and January 1, 2004 (147,741). The total change in Washington's probation population was a decrease of about 41,300 probationers between 2000 and 2008.

Changes in reporting methods among parole agencies within certain jurisdictions from 2000 to 2008

Six reporting agencies in separate jurisdictions changed their methods of reporting parole data between 2000 and 2008 for the same reasons as those agencies that changed their methods of reporting probation data—adminstrative changes, reclassification of offenders, and the addition of certain parole populations not previously reported. This section discusses those six reporting agencies. It also discusses the year the change occurred and the change in the state's total parole population, as well as the total change in the state's parole population between 2000 and 2008. Combined, changes in population and changes due to new reporting methods in these six states accounted for 7,400 additional parolees between 2000 and 2008, representing approximately 7% of the total change (104,271) in the nation's parole population during this period.

Two Alabama agencies respond to the Annual Parole Survey. The state agency changed its method of reporting parole data beginning with the January 1, 2006 population by including certain offenders whose statuses had previously been classified as other than a parolee in prior years. The reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 500 additional parolees in Alabama's total parole population reported between December 31, 2005 (7,252) and January 1, 2006 (7,795). The state agency changed its reporting method again beginning with the January 1, 2007 population when it consolidated data sources. The change resulted in a decline of about 1,200 parolees in Alabama's total parole population reported between December 31, 2006 (8,685) and January 1, 2007 (7,508). The total change in Alabama's parole population was an increase of about 2,600 between 2000 and 2008.

Alaska made improvements to its method of reporting parole data starting with its January 1, 2007 population. The reporting change resulted

in a difference of nearly 500 additional parolees between the December 31, 2006 (1,044) and January 1, 2007 (1,527) populations reported by Alaska. The total change in Alaska's parole population was an increase of about 1,200 parolees between 2000 and 2008.

New Mexico changed its method of reporting parole data beginning with its January 1, 2007 population because its information system was enhanced, which resulted in an increased capacity to report data, including parolees on different types of supervision statuses. The reporting change resulted in a difference of almost 600 additional parolees in New Mexico's total parole population reported between December 31, 2006 (2,922) and January 1, 2007 (3,517). The total change in New Mexico's parole population was an increase of nearly 2,100 parolees between 2000 and 2008.

Pennsylvania's state agency provides both state and county parole data. The agency changed its method of reporting county parole data, starting with its December 31, 2004 population, by reconciling the status of certain offenders who were previously classified as being on a dual probation and parole status. The reporting change resulted in a decline of approximately 25,100 parolees in Pennsylvania's total population reported between January 1, 2004 (102,244) and December 31, 2004 (77,175). The total change in Pennsylvania's parole population was a decrease of nearly 9,400 parolees between 2000 and 2008.

Virginia changed its method of reporting parolees starting with its January 1, 2007 population when it expanded the scope of its parole population based on new guidelines. The change included post-release offenders who had been previously excluded from the parole counts reported by the state in prior years. The reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 3,200 additional parolees between the December 31, 2006 (3,978) and January 1, 2007 (7,201) populations reported by the state. In 2008 Virginia consolidated its databases, which resulted in subsequent data review and cleanup. This reporting change resulted in a decrease of an estimated 2,200 parolees between the December 31, 2007 population (6,850) reported by the state and the imputed January 1, 2008 population (estimated at 4,700). The total change in Virginia's parole population was a decline of nearly 700 parolees between 2000 and 2008.

The state of Washington changed its method of reporting parole data starting with its January 1, 2004 population when it reclassified certain offenders on supervised release following a prison term from a probation status to a parole status. The change in the state's parole population was a difference of 24,800 additional parolees between the December 31, 2003 (105) and January 1, 2004 (24,905) populations reported by the state. The total change in Washington's parole population was an increase of about 11,600 parolees between 2000 and 2008.

Imputing probation entries and exits for nonreporting agencies in 2008

BJS used one of four methods to impute probation entries for nonreporting agencies and a single method to impute exits. The method used to impute entries was dependent on the data available. The first method was used to estimate entries and exits for nonreporting county and district agencies in Arizona, Florida, Michigan, Ohio, and Washington. BJS estimated probation entries in 2008 by using the ratio of entries in 2007 to the agency's probation population on January 1, 2007 and applying that ratio to the agency's January 1, 2008 population. BJS estimated exits from supervision by adding the agency's estimated probation entries in 2008 to the agency's probation population on January 1, 2008, and subtracting that estimate from the probation population on December 31, 2008. Estimating probation entries in 2008 for one agency in Arizona required BJS to use data from 2004 because the growth observed in the agency's probation population during that year was similar to the growth observed in 2008. The agency provided probation entries and exits for 2007; however, growth in the agency's probation population in 2007 was significantly larger than the growth observed during 2008.

The second method was used to estimate probation entries for nonreporting county and district agencies in Colorado, Florida, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, and Washington. These agencies were also unable to report probation entries and exits in 2007. The ratio of 2008 entries to the January 1, 2008 population among reporting agencies in the same state was used to estimate the number of entries for nonreporting agencies with similar numbers of probationers. To estimate probation exits for these agencies, BJS used the first estimation method.

BJS used a third method to estimate probation entries for one state agency in West Virginia. This agency reported interstate compact data only. BJS estimated the number of entries for this agency by using the ratio of 2008 entries to the January 1, 2008 probation population among reporting agencies within the same region. To estimate probation exits for this agency, BJS used the first estimation method.

BIS used a fourth method to estimate entries to and exits from probation and parole supervision in Pennsylvania counties. This method is discussed in Changes in estimating probation and parole entries and exits in Pennsylvania counties.

Changes in estimating probation and parole entries and exits in Pennsylvania counties

From 1999 to 2007 probation and parole entries and exits were estimated in Pennsylvania counties based on the last year (1998) the state was able to provide the data. In 2008 the method changed because the Pennsylvania respondent was able to provide additional information, including the number of combined probation and parole entries to county supervision, by county, and the number of combined probation and parole exits from county supervision, by county. The 2008 data were estimated in two steps and the methodology was provided to the Pennsylvania respondent for review.

Sixty-two of the 65 counties in Pennsylvania were able to provide combined probation and parole entries and exits to the Pennsylvania county respondent in 2008. In the first step of estimation, data for the three nonreporting counties were estimated. To estimate the 2008 data for two of those nonreporting counties, the first method (See Imputing probation entries and exits for nonreporting agencies in 2008) was applied to the 2007 data provided by those counties. The third county was also unable to provide probation and parole entries and exits in 2007. For the third county, entries and exits were estimated based on data provided by other counties in Pennsylvania with a similar number of probationers and parolees and that had a similar increase in their combined probation and parole population during 2008. The estimates of probation and parole entries and probation and parole exits for these three counties were added to the combined probation and parole entries and the combined probation and parole exits for the other 62 counties, yielding a total number of probation and parole entries and exit for all 65 counties.

In the second step of estimation, the total number of probation entries and exits for all 65 counties and the total number of parole entries and exits for all 65 counties were estimated separately. The Pennsylvania respondent was able to provide separate January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008 counts of county probationers and county parolees. The percentage of the total combined probation and parole population on January 1, 2008 attributable to probation only was applied to the total number of combined probation and parole entries in the 65 counties to estimate the number of entries to probation. The residual was used as an estimate of the number of entries to parole during 2008. Probation exits were estimated by adding the estimated 2008 county probation entries to the January 1, 2008 county probation population and subtracting the December 31, 2008 county probation population. County parole exits were estimated using the same method.

National estimates of probation and parole entries and exits from 2000 to 2008

Probation and parole entries and exits are estimated for nonreporting agencies and the estimation method for Pennsylvania counties changed in 2008 because the Pennsylvania respondent was able to provide additional information. The national estimates of entries and exits are based on estimation methods for Pennsylvania counties from 2000 to 2007 that are comparable to 2008. The 2007 estimates of entries and exits nationwide were re-estimated using the same method as 2008 because the Pennsylvania was able to provide the same additional information for 2007. For years 2000 to 2006, the national totals of probation entries were re-estimated by adding the difference (28,400) of probation entries in Pennsylvania counties in 2007 between the old and new methods of estimation. The national totals of probation exits (difference of 28,400) and parole entries (difference of 14,400) and exits (difference of 14,500) from 2000 to 2006 were re-estimated using the same method.

Estimating national change in entries and exits and the nation's probation and parole populations

Technically, the change in the probation and parole populations from the beginning of the year to the end of the year should equal the difference between entries and exits during the year. However, the differences may not be equal. Some probation and parole information systems track the number of cases that enter and exit community supervision versus the number of offenders. This means that entries and exits may include case counts as opposed to counts of offenders, while the beginning and end of year population counts represent individuals. Additionally, all the data on entries and exits may not have been logged into the information systems or the information systems may not have fully processed all of the data before the data were submitted to BJS.

Calculating growth in the total correctional population and accounting for offenders on dual correctional statuses

Some offenders in prison or jail remained under the jurisdiction of a probation or parole agency. In order to calculate the contributions of both the community supervision and incarcerated populations to the growth in the total correctional population, offenders with dual correctional statuses were excluded from the community supervision population. If offenders with dual correctional statuses had been excluded from the incarcerated population, the community supervision population would have accounted for 63% of the total growth in the correctional population between 2000 and 2008; the incarcerated population would have accounted for 37%.

Estimating 2007 community supervision and prison data for nonreporting jurisdictions

In 2007 Oklahoma did not provide community supervision data. Community supervision data for Oklahoma were estimated by BJS. See *Probation and Parole in the United States*, 2007 – *Statistical Tables*, available online at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/ppus07st.htm>. Maine and Nevada did not provide prison data for 2007. Prison data for Maine and Nevada were estimated by BJS. See *Prisoners in 2007*, available online at <a href="http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/p07.htm">http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/p07.htm</a> (last accessed October 20, 2009).

Estimating the adult resident population

The U.S. Census Bureau provided BJS with provisional estimates of the adult resident population in each state on January 1, 2009.

#### Other available information

Detailed information for 2008 is available in appendix tables 1 to 22. *Explanatory notes* for probation and parole are also available.

#### Appendix tables include—

#### Community supervision

 Appendix Table 1. Adults under community supervision, 2008

#### Probation

- Appendix Table 2. Adults on probation, 2008
- Appendix Table 3. Adults entering probation, by type of sentence, 2008
- Appendix Table 4. Adults exiting probation, by type of exit, 2008
- Appendix Table 5. Characteristics of adults on probation, 2000, 2004, 2008
- Appendix Table 6. Adults on probation, by gender, 2008
- Appendix Table 7. Adults on probation, by race and Hispanic origin, 2008
- Appendix Table 8. Adults on probation, by status of supervision, 2008
- Appendix Table 9. Adults on probation, by type of offense, 2008
- Appendix Table 10. Adults on probation, by most serious offense, 2008
- Appendix Table 11. Adults on probation tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), also on parole, or incarcerated, 2008

#### Parole

- Appendix Table 12. Adults on parole, 2008
- Appendix Table 13. Adults entering parole, by type of sentence, 2008
- Appendix Table 14. Adults exiting parole, by type of exit, 2008
- Appendix Table 15. Characteristics of adults on parole, 2000, 2004, 2008

- Appendix Table 16. Adults on parole, by gender, 2008
- Appendix Table 17. Adults on parole, by race and Hispanic origin, 2008
- Appendix Table 18. Adults on parole, by status of supervision, 2008
- Appendix Table 19. Adults on parole, by maximum sentence to incarceration, 2008
- Appendix Table 20. Adults on parole, by most serious offense, 2008
- Appendix Table 21. Adults on parole, by type of release from prison, 2008
- Appendix Table 22. Adults on parole tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), also on probation, or incarcerated, 2008

#### Explanatory notes include—

Probation—Explanatory notes, 2008

Parole—Explanatory notes, 2008

### Appendix Table 1.

#### Adults under community supervision, 2008

	Community supervision			08		Community supervision		Number under community
Region and	population,	Ent		Ex		population,	Percent	supervision per 100,000
jurisdiction	1/1/2008 <sup>a</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>b</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>b</sup>	12/31/2008 <sup>a</sup>	change, 2008	adult residents, 12/31/2008
U.S. total <sup>c</sup>	5,052,086	2,737,166	2,950,300	2,701,561	2,914,800	5,095,181	0.9%	2,201
Federal	114,817	58,129	58,129	51,569	51,569	121,377	5.7%	52
State <sup>c</sup>	4,937,269	2,679,037	2,892,200	2,649,992	2,863,300	4,973,804	0.7	2,149
Northeast	867,605	280,232	413,600	280,137	409,200	871,545	0.5%	2,041
Connecticut <sup>d</sup>	59,675	30,946	30,946	31,288	31,288	58,878	-1.3	2,181
Maine	7,886	3,634	3,634	3,985	3,985	7,535	-4.5	721
Massachusetts <sup>e</sup>	183,987	98,712	98,712	95,206	95,206	187,493	1.9	3,683
New Hampshire <sup>e</sup>	6,303	4,100	4,100	4,193	4,193	6,210	-1.5	604
New Jersey <sup>e</sup>	144,805	55,130	55,130	55,349	55,349	144,586	-0.2	2,170
New York	175,283	61,754	61,754	65,407	65,407	171,630	-2.1	1,134
Pennsylvania <sup>f</sup>	255,094	13,943	147,300	13,413	142,500	259,924	1.9	2,676
Rhode Island <sup>d,e</sup>	26,579	7,109	7,109	6,419	6,419	27,269	2.6	3,313
Vermont <sup>d,e</sup>	7,993	4,904	4,904	4,877	4,877	8,020	0.3	1,624
Midwest	1,151,529	723,092	751,100	713,798	744,300	1,157,518	0.5%	2,284
Illinois	177,876	91,771	91,771	91,060	91,060	178,587	0.4	1,828
Indiana <sup>d,e</sup>	139,983	111,399	111,399	109,454	109,454	141,928	1.4	2,948
Iowa <sup>d,e</sup>	26,322	17,844	17,844	18,049	18,049	26,117	-0.8	1,136
Kansas <sup>e</sup>	20,973	25,368	25,368	25,120	25,120	21,221	1.2	1,004
Michigan <sup>d,e,f</sup>	202,426	135,836	150,900	137,002	154,300	198,114	-2.1	2,600
Minnesota	131,908	83,306	83,306	82,506	82,506	132,708	0.6	3,329
Missouri <sup>d</sup>	74,907	39,424	39,424	36,288	36,288	78,043	4.2	1,730
Nebraska	19,710	15,731	15,731	14,989	14,989	20,452	3.8	1,523
North Dakota	4,836	3,759	3,759	3,978	3,978	4,617	-4.5	922
Ohio <sup>d,e,f</sup>	276,199	161,073	174,000	156,967	170,100	280,081	1.4	3,191
South Dakota <sup>d,e</sup>	8,453	5,872	5,872	5,459	5,459	8,866	4.9	1,453
Wisconsin	67,936	31,709	31,709	32,926	32,926	66,784	-1.7	1,541
South <sup>c</sup>	1,941,628	1,031,700	1,054,100	1,016,523	1,038,500	1,965,619	1.2%	2,319
Alabama <sup>d</sup>	59,535	23,033	23,033	21,274	21,274	61,294	3.0	1,723
Arkansas	50,057	18,782	18,782	17,762	17,762	51,077	2.0	2,361
Delaware	17,231	15,880	15,880	15,344	15,344	17,767	3.1	2,645
District of Columbia <sup>g</sup>	13,490	9,424	9,424	8,198	8,198	14,405	6.8	2,990
Florida <sup>d,e,f</sup>	280,908	234,107	245,900	232,249	243,400	284,288	1.2	1,976
Georgia <sup>d,g,h</sup>	402,315	238,705	238,705	225,151	225,151	420,529	4.5	5,846
Kentucky <sup>d,f</sup>	61,639	18,119	27,500	16,653	26,000	63,004	2.2	1,924
Louisiana	62,956	28,761	28,761	27,191	27,191	64,589	2.6	1,945
Maryland	112,326	59,486	59,486	62,232	62,232	109,580	-2.4	2,543
Mississippi	23,638	12,926	12,926	11,375	11,375	25,189	6.6	1,154
North Carolina <sup>d</sup>	114,330	72,120	72,120	73,790	73,790	112,676	-1.4	1,599
Oklahoma <sup>d</sup>	30,456	14,755	14,755	14,198	14,198	31,013	1.8	1,127
South Carolina	43,974	15,223	15,223	15,996	15,996	43,201	-1.8	1,254
Tennessee <sup>d,e</sup>	66,345	27,372	27,372	28,272	28,272	68,633	3.4	1,254
Texas <sup>d</sup>	536,054	210,640	210,640	216,693	216,693	530,001		2,979
Virginia <sup>d,e,i</sup>	330,034	210,640	30,900	210,093	29,400	58,085	-1.1	971
West Virginia <sup>d,f</sup>			2,800				:	719
vvest viiginia	9,720	2,686	2,800	2,124	2,200	10,288	5.8	/19

#### Appendix Table 1. (cont.)

#### Adults under community supervision, 2008

	Community supervision		2008			Community supervision		Number under community				
Region and	population,	Entri		Ex					Exits		Percent change,	supervision per 100,000
jurisdiction	1/1/2008 <sup>a</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>b</sup>	Reported	Reported Imputedb		2008	adult residents, 12/31/2008				
West	976,507	644,013	673,300	639,534	671,200	979,122	0.3 %	1,835				
Alaska <sup>e</sup>	8,067	2,264	2,264	1,891	1,891	8,440	4.6	1,653				
Arizona <sup>e,f</sup>	83,585	35,992	37,200	30,185	31,000	89,766	7.4	1,856				
California <sup>d,e</sup>	458,435	370,947	370,947	384,020	384,020	445,822	-2.8	1,616				
Colorado <sup>d,e,f</sup>	88,648	60,457	60,800	49,388	49,600	100,566	13.4	2,667				
Hawaii <sup>d</sup>	21,441	6,796	6,796	7,236	7,236	21,001	-2.1	2,078				
Idaho <sup>j</sup>	51,777	41,801	41,801	40,704	40,704	52,874	2.1	4,714				
Montana <sup>e</sup>	10,072	4,527	4,527	4,642	4,642	9,957	-1.1	1,323				
Nevada	17,114	11,812	11,812	11,681	11,681	17,245	0.8	884				
New Mexico <sup>d,f</sup>	23,356	5,609	7,700	4,474	7,400	23,609	1.1	1,580				
Oregon	64,667	24,611	24,611	25,195	25,195	64,083	-0.9	2,177				
Utah	14,373	8,039	8,039	7,708	7,708	14,704	2.3	770				
Washington <sup>d,e,f</sup>	128,908	68,056	93,800	69,409	97,200	124,902	-3.1	2,473				
Wyoming	6,064	3,102	3,102	3,001	3,001	6,153	1.5	1,508				

Note: Because of nonresponse or incomplete data, the community supervision population for some jurisdictions on December 31, 2008, does not equal the population on January 1, plus entries, minus exits. Rates were computed using the estimated adult resident population in each jurisdiction on January 1, 2009.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>:</sup> Not calculated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The January 1 (3,562) and December 31 (3,905) populations exclude a small number of offenders under community supervision who were on both probation and parole. The December 31, 2007 total (3,562) was used as an estimate of the January 1, 2008 total. See appendix table 22 for December 31, 2008 totals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Reflects reported data except for jurisdictions in which data were not available. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Includes an estimated 56,654 offenders under community supervision in Virginia on January 1, 2008. See Methodology and parole Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated.

ePopulation excludes probationers or parolees in one of the following categories: absconder, warrant, inactive, supervised out of state, only have financial conditions remaining, in a residential/other treatment program, or had their location tracked by GPS. See probation or parole Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Data for entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See *Methodology* for more detail.

gDue to a change in reporting methods in 2008, data may not be comparable to data reported in prior years. See Methodology and Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup>Probation counts include private agency cases and may overstate the number of persons under supervision. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

iVirginia's parole agency could not provide parole data for January 1, entries to parole, or exits from parole during 2008. Additionally, due to a change in reporting methods in 2008, data may not be comparable to data reported in prior years. See Methodology and parole Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>j</sup>Probation counts include estimates for misdemeanors based on entries. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

#### Appendix Table 2.

#### Adults on probation, 2008

	Probation		20	008		Probation	Percent		
Region and	population,	Ent	ries	Ex	its	population,	change,		
jurisdiction	1/1/2008	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	12/31/2008	2008	residents, 12/31/2008	
U.S. total	4,234,471	2,188,591	2,369,300	2,165,083	2,340,800	4,270,917	0.9%	1,845	
Federal	23,422	11,333	11,333	12,007	12,007	22,748	-2.9%	10	
State	4,211,049	2,177,258	2,358,000	2,153,076	2,328,800	4,248,169	0.9	1,835	
Northeast	712,413	224,380	326,600	223,905	316,800	721,720	1.3%	1,690	
Connecticut <sup>b,c</sup>	57,498	28,580	28,580	29,073	29,073	56,550	-1.6	2,094	
Maine <sup>c</sup>	7,854	3,634	3,634	3,984	3,984	7,504	-4.5	718	
Massachusetts <sup>d</sup>	180,866	94,028	94,028	90,586	90,586	184,308	1.9	3,620	
New Hampshire <sup>d</sup>	4,650	3,155	3,155	3,256	3,256	4,549	-2.2	443	
New Jersey	129,750	44,881	44,881	45,894	45,894	128,737	-0.8	1,932	
New York	121,614	36,247	36,247	38,456	38,456	119,405	-1.8	789	
Pennsylvania <sup>c,e</sup>	176,987	3,139	105,400	2,440	95,400	186,973	5.6	1,925	
Rhode Island <sup>b</sup>	26,137	6,484	6,484	5,867	5,867	26,754	2.4	3,251	
Vermont <sup>b,d</sup>	7,057	4,232	4,232	4,349	4,349	6,940	-1.7	1,405	
Midwest	1,015,046	617,726	645,700	612,043	642,500	1,017,359	0.2%	2,008	
Illinois <sup>c</sup>	142,790	59,114	59,114	57,000	57,000	144,904	1.5	1,483	
Indiana <sup>b</sup>	129,608	100,199	100,199	98,516	98,516	131,291	1.3	2,727	
Iowa <sup>b,d</sup>	22,776	15,689	15,689	15,507	15,507	22,958	0.8	998	
Kansas <sup>d</sup>	16,131	20,448	20,448	20,316	20,316	16,263	0.8	770	
Michigan <sup>b,d,e</sup>	181,295	124,279	139,400	126,837	144,200	175,591	-3.1	2,304	
Minnesota <sup>c</sup>	127,152	77,479	77,479	77,004	77,004	127,627	0.4	3,202	
Missouri <sup>b</sup>	56,251	23,917	23,917	22,808	22,808	57,360	2.0	1,272	
Nebraska <sup>c</sup>	18,910	14,719	14,719	14,023	14,023	19,606	3.7	1,460	
North Dakota	4,496	2,922	2,922	3,185	3,185	4,233	-5.8	845	
Ohio <sup>b,d,e</sup>	257,809	150,977	163,900	147,600	160,700	260,962	1.2	2,973	
South Dakota <sup>b,d</sup>	5,641	4,022	4,022	3,517	3,517	6,146	9.0	1,007	
Wisconsin	52,187	23,961	23,961	25,730	25,730	50,418	-3.4	1,164	
South	1,701,976	923,125	944,300	912,132	932,700	1,722,704	1.2%	2,033	
Alabama <sup>b,c</sup>	51,745	20,073	20,073	18,566	18,566	53,252	2.9	1,497	
Arkansas	31,440	9,097	9,097	9,368	9,368	31,169	-0.9	1,441	
Delaware <sup>c</sup>	16,696	15,463	15,463	14,943	14,943	17,216	3.1	2,563	
District of Columbia <sup>f</sup>	8,073	7,007	7,007	6,499	6,499	8,581	6.3	1,781	
Florida <sup>b,d,e</sup>	276,254	226,888	238,700	224,904	236,100	279,760	1.3	1,944	
Georgia <sup>b,f,g</sup>	379,204	227,084	227,084	213,867	213,867	397,081	4.7	5,520	
Kentucky <sup>b,c,e</sup>	48,749	11,953	21,300	9,667	19,000	51,035	4.7	1,558	
Louisiana <sup>c</sup>	39,006	14,949	14,949	13,930	13,930	40,025	2.6	1,205	
Maryland <sup>c</sup>	98,470	52,138	52,138	54,248	54,248	96,360	-2.1	2,236	
Mississippi	21,623	11,107	11,107	10,463	10,463	22,267	3.0	1,020	
North Carolina <sup>b</sup>	111,446	68,467	68,467	70,235	70,235	109,678	-1.6	1,557	
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	27,527	13,342	13,342	12,929	12,929	27,940	1.5	1,016	
South Carolina	41,685	14,788	14,788	15,219	15,219	41,254	-1.0	1,198	
Tennessee <sup>b,d</sup>	55,908	23,287	23,287	24,633	24,633	58,109	3.9	1,219	
Texas <sup>b,c</sup>	434,306	176,648	176,648	183,874	183,874	427,080	-1.7	2,401	
Virginia <sup>b,c</sup>	51,954	29,681	29,681	28,021	28,021	53,614	3.2	896	
West Virginia b,e	7,890	1,153	1,300	766	900	8,283	5.0	579	

#### Appendix Table 2. (cont.) Adults on probation, 2008

	Probation		20	08		Probation	Percent	Number on probation
Region and	population,	Ent	ries	Exi	its	population,	change,	per 100,000 adult
jurisdiction	1/1/2008	Reported	rted Imputed <sup>a</sup> Repo		Imputed <sup>a</sup>	12/31/2008	2008	residents, 12/31/2008
West	781,614	412,027	441,300	404,996	436,700	786,386	0.6%	1,474
Alaska <sup>d</sup>	6,528	1,401	1,401	1,221	1,221	6,708	2.8	1,314
Arizona <sup>c,e</sup>	76,830	22,559	23,700	17,531	18,300	82,232	7.0	1,700
California	334,671	189,926	189,926	199,528	199,528	325,069	-2.9	1,178
Colorado <sup>b,d,e</sup>	77,634	50,783	51,100	40,354	40,500	88,912	14.5	2,358
Hawaii <sup>b,c</sup>	19,426	6,151	6,151	6,480	6,480	19,097	-1.7	1,890
Idaho <sup>h</sup>	48,663	40,030	40,030	39,180	39,180	49,513	1.7	4,415
Montana <sup>d</sup>	9,106	3,864	3,864	3,898	3,898	9,072	-0.4	1,206
Nevada	13,461	7,326	7,326	7,450	7,450	13,337	-0.9	684
New Mexico <sup>b,c,e</sup>	20,774	3,590	5,700	2,652	5,600	20,883	0.5	1,398
Oregon	42,471	15,779	15,779	16,362	16,362	41,888	-1.4	1,423
Utah	10,801	5,615	5,615	5,313	5,313	11,103	2.8	582
Washington b,d,e	115,891	62,240	88,000	62,344	90,100	113,134	-2.4	2,240
Wyoming <sup>c</sup>	5,358	2,763	2,763	2,683	2,683	5,438	1.5	1,333

Note: Because of nonresponse or incomplete data, the probation population for some jurisdictions on December 31, 2008, does not equal the population on January 1, plus entries, minus exits. Rates were computed using the estimated adult resident population in each jurisdiction on January 1, 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Reflects reported data except for jurisdictions in which data were not available. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Population excludes probationers in one of the following categories: absconder, inactive, warrant, supervised out of jurisdiction, in a residential/ other treatment program, only have financial conditions remaining, or probationers who had their location tracked by GPS. See Explanatory notes for more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Data for entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See *Methodology* for more detail.

Due to a change in reporting, data may not be comparable to data reported in prior years. See Methodology and Explanatory notes for more detail.

gCounts include private agency cases and may overstate the number of persons under supervision. See Methodology and Explanatory notes for more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup>Counts include estimates for misdemeanors based on entries during the year. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

#### Appendix Table 3.

#### Adults entering probation, by type of sentence, 2008

	Number of adults entering probation, 2008  Total Probation without Probation with Unknown or									
Region and	Total			1	Unknown or					
jurisdiction	reported	incarceration	incarceration	Other <sup>a</sup>	not reported					
U.S. total	2,188,591	666,719	203,014	65,110	1,253,748					
Federal	11,333	11,333	0	0	0					
State	2,177,258	655,386	203,014	65,110	1,253,748					
Northeast	224,380	66,007	12,611	350	145,412					
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	28,580	22,487	6,093	**	0					
Maine	3,634	2,576	903	136	19					
Massachusetts	94,028	**	**	**	94,028					
New Hampshire <sup>b</sup>	3,155	2,731	424	0	0					
New Jersey	44,881	**	**	**	44,881					
New York	36,247	31,422	4,825	0	0					
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	3,139	3,139	0	0	0					
Rhode Island	6,484	**	**	**	6,484					
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	4,232	3,652	366	214	0					
Midwest	617,726	110,899	105,100	22,116	379,611					
Illinois	59,114	**	**	**	59,114					
Indiana <sup>b</sup>	100,199	3,886	82,434	13,879	0					
Iowa <sup>b</sup>	15,689	**	**	**	15,689					
Kansas	20,448	**	**	**	20,448					
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	124,279	22,180	3,904	710	97,485					
Minnesota	77,479	**	**	**	77,479					
Missouri	23,917	21,546	0	2,371	0					
Nebraska	14,719	10,329	4,390	0	0					
North Dakota	2,922	2,922	0	0	0					
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	150,977	50,036	14,372	5,156	81,413					
South Dakota	4,022	**	**	**	4,022					
Wisconsin	23,961	**	**	**	23,961					
South	923,125	418,255	58,986	15,471	430,413					
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	20,073	9,283	9,415	**	1,375					
Arkansas	9,097	8,333	0	764	0					
Delaware	15,463	**	**	**	15,463					
District of Columbia	7,007	6,016	991	0	0					
Florida <sup>b</sup>	226,888	163,879	7,655	1,782	53,572					
Georgia <sup>b</sup>	227,084	31,689	14,330	881	180,184					
Kentucky	11,953	10,915	269	769	0					
Louisiana	14,949	13,638	812	499	0					
Maryland	52,138	43,120	9,018	0	0					
Mississippi	11,107	7,581	3,526	0	0					
North Carolina	68,467									
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>		68,413 9,441	1 992	**	2.019					
	13,342		1,883		2,018					
South Carolina	14,788	12,763	2,025	0	0					
Tennessee <sup>b</sup>	23,287	15,007	8,190	90	176.640					
Texas <sup>b</sup>	176,648				176,648					
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	29,681	18,177	818	10,686	0					
West Virginia <sup>b</sup>	1,153	**	**	**	1,153					

#### Appendix Table 3. (cont.)

#### Adults entering probation, by type of sentence, 2008

		Number of ad	ults entering prob	ation, 2008	
Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Probation without incarceration	Probation with incarceration	Othera	Unknown or not reported
West	412,027	60,225	26,317	27,173	298,312
Alaska	1,401	**	**	**	1,401
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	22,559	14,212	8,347	0	0
California <sup>b</sup>	189,926	**	**	**	189,926
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	50,783	27,546	3,131	14,660	5,446
Hawaii <sup>b</sup>	6,151	**	**	**	6,151
Idaho	40,030	2,584	1,553	32	35,861
Montana	3,864	1,591	1,640	300	333
Nevada	7,326	**	**	**	7,326
New Mexico <sup>b</sup>	3,590	**	**	**	3,590
Oregon	15,779	7,567	7,348	0	864
Utah	5,615	2,735	2,880	0	0
Washington <sup>b</sup>	62,240	1,431	1,214	12,181	47,414
Wyoming	2,763	2,559	204	0	0

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed entries to probation, see appendix table 2.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a} Includes\ probationers\ who\ entered\ supervision\ through\ a\ deferred\ sentence,\ pretrial\ supervision,\ after\ a\ bench$ warrant was served, a reinstatement of their original sentence, placement in a drug court program, a transfer from another agency or state, and other types of sentences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Data represent state probationers only. Data are not available for county probationers.

# Appendix Table 4. Adults exiting probation, by type of exit, 2008

				1	Number of a	dults exitin	ng probation	, 2008				
				Incarc	erated							
				Under				Discharged				Unknown
Region and	Total		With new	current	To receive			to warrant	unsatis-	D 4	ou b	or not
jurisdiction	reported	Completion		sentence				or detainer	-	Death	Other <sup>b</sup>	reported
U.S. total	2,165,083	1,041,695	57,985	135,994	7,682	82,055	59,481	11,205	166,343	9,851	71,094	521,698
Federal	12,007	9,801	87	1,057		0	157		350	123	432	0
State	2,153,076	1,031,894	57,898	134,937	7,682	82,055	59,324	11,205	165,993	9,728	70,662	521,698
Northeast	223,905	61,734	4,541	536	0	1,265	0	65	9,561	507	771	144,925
Connecticut <sup>c</sup>	29,073	29,073	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	0
Maine	3,984	3,378	0	0	0	606	0	0	0	0	0	0
Massachusetts	90,586	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	90,586
New Hampshire <sup>c</sup>	3,256	**	**	**	**	530	**	**	**	19	**	2,707
New Jersey	45,894	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	45,894
New York	38,456	24,831	3,985	~	~	~	**	~	9,169	432	39	0
Pennsylvania <sup>d</sup>	2,440	1,292	256	318	0	0	0	65	0	38	471	0
Rhode Island <sup>c</sup>	5,867	**	**	**	**	129	**	**	**	**	**	5,738
Vermont <sup>c</sup>	4,349	3,160	300	218	~	0	**	~	392	18	261	0
Midwest	612,043	245,669	18,726	40,664	372	1,829	24,438	3,522	6,788	1,383	13,561	255,091
Illinois	57,000	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	57,000
Indiana <sup>c</sup>	98,516	64,858	8,350	10,875	~	0	7,262	~	0	0	7,171	0
Iowa	15,507	11,904	**	2,572	**	0	43	**	3	91	894	0
Kansas	20,316	17,403	**	**	**	107	**	**	2,806	**	**	0
Michigan <sup>c</sup>	126,837	50,537	2,079	6,579	88	509	502	2,534	1,701	305	1109	60,894
Minnesota	77,004	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	77,004
Missouri <sup>c</sup>	22,808	9,713	1,331	4,564	**	**	6,743	**	**	366	0	91
Nebraska	14,023	12,190	836	806	0	0	58	12	64	50	7	0
North Dakota	3,185	1,700	571	664	0	74	147	0	0	29	0	0
Ohio <sup>c</sup>	147,600	57,282	4,827	7,168	284	1,139	9,669	976	1,390	385	4,378	60,102
South Dakota	3,517	3,192	**	325	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	0
Wisconsin	25,730	16,890	732	7,111	~	0	14	0	824	157	2	0
South	912,132	554,637	32,398	78,632	6,926	75,026	28,242	7,098	56,891	6,879	22,231	43,172
Alabama <sup>c</sup>	18,566	6,982	1,692	1,680	**	5,475	200	**	**	286	946	1,305
Arkansas	9,368	5,946	982	1,367	**	0	353	**	0	187	533	0
Delaware	14,943	4,091	**	**	21	3,534	**	**	6,256	92	949	0
District of Columbia		3,874	**	**	**	1,375	0	0	831	50	369	0
Florida <sup>c</sup>	224,904	121,188	12,618	34,144	6,905	836	460	7,050	3,451	1,302	854	36,096
Georgia <sup>c</sup>	213,867	153,733	2,793	6,173	**	**	14,300	**	31,473	397	4,998	0
Kentucky	9,667	4,014	590	3,454	0	0	316	48	141	186	918	0
Louisiana	13,930	8,783	880	3,721	0	0	0	0	18	183	345	0
Maryland	54,248	33,302	4,679	5,919	**	0	0	0	6,824	511	3,012	1
Mississippi	10,463	5,313	**	**	0	619	1,766	**	22	27	**	2,716
North Carolina	70,235	33,938	4,334	12,179	~	0	10,847	**	6,637	632	0	1,668
Oklahoma <sup>c</sup>	12,929	9,485	1,120	596	**	**	**	**	142	200	0	1,386
South Carolina	15,219	9,403	462	4,684	0	0	0	0	0	190	76	1,380
Tennessee <sup>c</sup>	24,633	16,236	2,248	4,084	**	**	**	**	1,096	338	0	0
Texas <sup>c</sup>			2,248	4,/15	**		**	**				
	183,874	121,907				53,463			0	1,885	6,619	0
Virginia <sup>c</sup>	28,021	16,038	0	0	0	9,408	0	0	0	413	2,162	0
West Virginia <sup>c</sup>	766	**	**	**	**	316	**	**	***	**	450	0

#### Appendix Table 4. (cont.)

#### Adults exiting probation, by type of exit, 2008

		Number of adults exiting probation, 2008													
				Incarc	erated										
Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Completion	With new sentence	Under current sentence	To receive treatment		Absconder	Discharged to warrant or detainer	Other unsatis- factory <sup>a</sup>	Death	Other <sup>b</sup>	Unknown or not reported			
West	404,996	169,854	2,233	15,105	384	3,935	6,644	520	92,753	959	34,099	78,510			
Alaska	1,221	654	38	138	0	186	21	65	0	8	49	62			
Arizona <sup>c</sup>	17,531	11,055	**	6,012	**	0	**	**	221	243	**	0			
California <sup>c</sup>	199,528	87,246	**	**	**	**	**	**	82,771	**	29,511	0			
Colorado <sup>c</sup>	40,354	25,736	29	1,596	**	3,747	5,265	64	486	225	3044	162			
Hawaii <sup>c</sup>	6,480	5,607	181	335	**	**	**	**	**	36	82	239			
Idaho	39,180	2,313	636	**	~	0	0	0	824	31	0	35,376			
Montana	3,898	1,746	90	1,192	**	**	37	43	**	75	335	380			
Nevada <sup>c</sup>	7,450	4,420	**	**	**	0	211	**	2,758	61	**	0			
New Mexico <sup>c</sup>	2,652	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	2,652			
Oregon	16,362	10,296	625	4,238	0	0	327	0	156	174	24	522			
Utah	5,313	2,364	366	363	0	0	55	1	1,383	58	723	0			
Washington <sup>c</sup>	62,344	16,654	171	716	384	2	586	347	4,154	36	177	39,117			
Wyoming	2,683	1,763	97	515	0	0	142	0	0	12	154	0			

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed exits from probation, see appendix table 2.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes probationers discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, including some with only financial conditions remaining, some who had their probation sentence revoked but were not incarcerated because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

bIncludes 9,644 probationers transferred to another jurisdiction and 61,450 probationers who exited supervision for other reasons. Other reasons include probationers discharged through a legislative mandate, because they were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement, had their sentence dismissed or overturned by the court through an appeal, had their sentence closed administratively, deferred, or terminated by the court, were awaiting a hearing, were released on bond, and other types of exits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of exit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Data represent state probationers only. Data are not available for county probationers.

### Appendix Table 5.

## Characteristics of adults on probation, 2000, 2004, and 2008

	Percent of	f adults on pr	obation
Characteristics	2000	2004	2008
Total	100%	100%	100%
Gender			
Male	78%	77%	76%
Female	22	23	24
Race and Hispanic origin			
White <sup>a</sup>	54%	56%	56%
Black <sup>a</sup>	31	30	29
Hispanic or Latino	13	12	13
American Indian/Alaska Native <sup>a</sup>	1	1	1
Asian/Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander <sup>a</sup>	1	1	1
Two or more races <sup>a</sup>			1
Status of supervision			
Active	76%	74%	71%
Residential/other treatment pro-			
gram		1	1
Financial conditions remaining			1
Inactive	9	9	8
Absconder	9	9	8
Supervised out of jurisdiction	3	2	3
Warrant status		5	6
Other	3		2
Type of offense			
Felony	52%	49%	49%
Misdemeanor	46	50	48
Other infractions	2	1	2
Most serious offense			
Violent		19%	19%
Property		23	25
Drug	24	26	29
Public-order <sup>b</sup>	24	22	17
Other <sup>c</sup>	52	10	10

Note: Each characteristic includes persons of unknown type. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. See appendix tables 6 to 10 for 2008 data by jurisdiction.

<sup>--</sup>Less than 0.5%.

<sup>...</sup>Not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Excludes persons of Hispanic origin.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm b} \rm Includes \ driving \ while \ into xicated \ and \ minor \ traffic \ of fenses \ only.$ 

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm c}$  Includes violent and property of fenses in 2000 because those data were not collected separately.

# Appendix Table 6. Adults on probation, by gender, 2008

	Probation	*						
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Male	Female	Unknown or not reported				
U.S. total	4,270,917	2,374,129	737,814	1,158,974				
Federal	22,748	14,209	8,159	380				
State	4,248,169	2,359,920	729,655	1,158,594				
Northeast	721,720	575,967	144,868	885				
Connecticut*	56,550	44,830	11,715	5				
Maine	7,504	6,161	1,343	0				
Massachusetts*	184,308	152,976	31,332	0				
New Hampshire	4,549	3,492	1,057	0				
New Jersey*	128,737	102,732	26,005	0				
New York	119,405	95,143	23,487	775				
Pennsylvania	186,973	142,913	44,060	0				
Rhode Island	26,754	22,355	4,294	105				
Vermont*	6,940	5,365	1,575	0				
Midwest	1,017,359	417,485	142,593	457,281				
Illinois	144,904	**	**	144,904				
Indiana*	131,291	**	**	131,291				
Iowa	22,958	16,560	6,308	90				
Kansas	16,263	**	**	16,263				
Michigan*	175,591	92,781	32,084	50,726				
Minnesota	127,627	97,588	30,039	0				
Missouri	57,360	42,255	14,438	667				
Nebraska	19,606	14,523	5,083	0				
North Dakota	4,233	3,107	1,126	0				
Ohio*	260,962	111,262	42,506	107,194				
South Dakota	6,146	**	**	6,146				
Wisconsin	50,418	39,409	11,009	0				
South	1,722,704	1,078,771	355,283	288,650				
Alabama*	53,252	34,753	9,305	9,194				
Arkansas	31,169	21,645	9,519	5				
Delaware	17,216	13,391	3,824	1				
District of Columbia	8,581	6,878	1,674	29				
Florida*	279,760	192,755	63,310	23,695				
Georgia*	397,081	116,688	34,928	245,465				
Kentucky*	51,035	34,411	16,624	0				
Louisiana	40,025	30,155	9,870	0				
Maryland	96,360	78,276	18,084	0				
Mississippi	22,267	17,235	5,032	0				
North Carolina	109,678	82,949	26,728	1				
Oklahoma*	27,940	19,613	6,350	1,977				
South Carolina	41,254	32,894	8,360	0				
Tennessee*	58,109	43,733	14,376	0				
Texas*	427,080	311,769	115,311	0				
Virginia*	53,614	41,626	11,988	0				
West Virginia	8,283	**	**	8,283				

#### Appendix Table 6. (cont.) Adults on probation, by gender, 2008

	Probation	Number o	f adults on pro	obation, 2008
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Male	Female	Unknown or not reported
West	786,386	287,697	86,911	411,778
Alaska	6,708	5,418	1,288	2
Arizona*	82,232	65,618	16,614	0
California*	325,069	**	**	325,069
Colorado*	88,912	64,829	22,052	2,031
Hawaii*	19,097	14,484	4,613	0
Idaho	49,513	10,047	3,605	35,861
Montana	9,072	6,773	2,117	182
Nevada*	13,337	9,885	3,452	0
New Mexico*	20,883	11,866	4,010	5,007
Oregon	41,888	32,367	9,521	0
Utah	11,103	8,294	2,809	0
Washington*	113,134	54,066	15,442	43,626
Wyoming	5,438	4,050	1,388	0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>\*</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for gender.

#### Appendix Table 7.

#### Adults on probation, by race and Hispanic origin, 2008

				Nu	mber of adults o	n probatio	ı, 2008		
Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2008	White	Black/Afri- can American	Hispanic or Latino	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiiar Other Pacific Islander	Two or	Unknown or not reported
U.S. total	4,270,917	1,528,485	786,499	371,015	26,833	20,431	5,870	13,976	1,517,808
Federal <sup>a</sup>	22,748	11,010	6,007	3,628	566	893	**	0	644
State	4,248,169	1,517,475	780,492	367,387	26,267	19,538	5,870	13,976	1,517,164
Northeast	721,720	271,307	166,288	68,401	1,017	3,872	14	222	210,599
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	56,550	27,070	15,304	12,321	107	414	0	20	1,314
Maine	7,504	6,788	271	0	90	23	2	0	330
Massachusetts	184,308	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	184,308
New Hampshire	4,549	4,119	148	~	8	8	0	0	266
New Jersey <sup>b</sup>	128,737	46,345	51,495	15,449	0	1,287	0	0	14,161
New York	119,405	55,239	34,812	23,739	482	1,097	~	~	4,036
Pennsylvania	186,973	110,398	58,878	11,864	157	729	12	202	4,733
Rhode Island <sup>a,c</sup>	26,754	15,114	5,142	5,028	135	275	**	**	1,060
Vermont <sup>b,d</sup>	6,940	6,234	238	**	38	39	**	**	391
Midwest	1,017,359	326,636	117,445	11,942	8,919	4,534	90	13,045	534,748
Illinois	144,904	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	144,904
Indiana <sup>b</sup>	131,291	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	131,291
Iowa	22,958	18,216	2,856	1,169	252	260	**	~	205
Kansas	16,263	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	16,263
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	175,591	48,444	30,155	1,237	597	402	73	109	94,574
Minnesota <sup>e</sup>	127,627	89,174	18,110	1	4,926	2,720	**	12,697	0
Missouri	57,360	40,715	14,854	806	121	153	**	**	711
Nebraska	19,606	13,955	2,280	2,718	522	131	0	0	0
North Dakota	4,233	3,175	162	147	730	19	0	0	0
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	260,962	78,671	38,369	2,951	54	260	17	239	140,401
South Dakota	6,146	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	6,146
Wisconsin <sup>a</sup>	50,418	34,286	10,659	2,914	1,717	589	**	**	253
South	1,722,704	707,505	468,947	222,157	4,895	3,494	275	283	315,148
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	53,252	20,671	22,601	648	53	53	**	**	9,226
Arkansas	31,169	19,552	9,974	1,369	85	70	**	**	119
Delaware	17,216	9,087	7,177	862	12	54	**	**	24
District of Columbia	8,581	697	7,097	594	3	67	6	0	117
Florida <sup>b</sup>	279,760	137,486	67,047	35,949	410	1,112	140	190	37,426
Georgia <sup>b</sup>	397,081	70,954	70,693	7,202	277	286	**	**	247,669
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	51,035	33,120	11,913	5,821	28	60	**	93	0
Louisiana	40,025	19,456	20,297	101	23	69	62	~	17
Maryland	96,360	46,114	48,781	**	111	637	**	**	717
Mississippi	22,267	9,262	12,461	166	24	50	0	0	304
North Carolina	109,678	50,780	48,453	6,325	1,869	329	59	**	1,863
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	27,940	15,105	5,296	1,937	1,858	104	8	**	3,632
South Carolina	41,254	18,998	21,388	668	46	46	0	~	108
Tennessee <sup>b</sup>	58,109	33,463	21,521	2,495	67	555	**	**	8
Texas <sup>b</sup>	427,080	196,457	68,332	158,020	**	**	**	**	4,271
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	53,614	26,303	25,916	0	29	2	0	0	1,364
West Virginia	8,283	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	8,283

#### Appendix Table 7. (cont.)

#### Adults on probation, by race and Hispanic origin, 2008

				Nu	mber of adults o	n probation	, 2008		
Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2008	White	Black/Afri- can American	Hispanic or Latino	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian Other Pacific Islander	Two or	Unknown or s not reported
West	786,386	212,027	27,812	64,887	11,436	7,638	5,491	426	456,669
Alaska	6,708	3,961	621	202	1,556	272	0	0	96
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	82,232	36,934	7,087	29,822	3,916	407	**	**	4,066
California	325,069	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	325,069
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	88,912	61,676	8,470	13,961	832	887	**	**	3,086
Hawaii <sup>b</sup>	19,097	4,402	755	**	**	3,767	5,275	**	4,898
Idaho	49,513	10,607	213	1,981	350	82	**	**	36,280
Montana	9,072	7,034	112	240	1,119	21	**	51	495
Nevada	13,337	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	13,337
New Mexicob	20,883	4,819	822	8,438	1,136	39	22	**	5,607
Oregon	41,888	33,338	2,423	4,856	597	494	0	0	180
Utah	11,103	8,228	507	1,118	308	87	174	0	681
Washington <sup>b</sup>	113,134	36,526	6,684	3,785	1,399	1,574	10	370	62,786
Wyoming	5,438	4,502	118	484	223	8	10	5	88

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>/</sup>Not reported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Asian includes an unspecified number of probationers who are Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander because Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander could not be reported separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for race and Hispanic origin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Classifies Hispanic or Latino as a race rather than an ethnicity; therefore, probationers reported as Hispanic or Latino may also be of another race. Additionally, probationers reported among other racial categories may also be Hispanic or Latino. The state's information system does not track Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or two or more races; therefore, these probationers, if any, were reported among the other racial categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Does not collect ethnicity data; therefore, the number of Hispanic or Latino probationers was unknown. Hispanic or Latino probationers were reported among the other racial categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Classifies Hispanic or Latino as an ethnicity rather than a race. There were 5,968 Hispanic or Latino probationers under supervision on December 31, 2008, but they were reported among the other racial categories.

### Appendix Table 8.

#### Adults on probation, by status of supervision, 2008

	Number of adults on probation, 2008									
	Probation		Residential/	Financial						
Region and	population,		other treatment				Warrant	Supervised out		Unknown or
jurisdiction	12/31/2008	Active	program	remaining	Inactive	Absconder	status	of jurisdiction	Other	not reported
U.S. total	4,270,917	2,161,699	23,030	45,515	234,398	249,320	188,403	91,892	61,096	1,215,564
Federal	22,748	22,748	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State	4,248,169	2,138,951	23,030	45,515	234,398	249,320	188,403	91,892	61,096	1,215,564
Northeast	721,720	372,906	**	38,855	25,258	43,345	32,636	23,746	655	184,319
Connecticut <sup>a</sup>	56,550	42,628	**	**	0	2,158	9,172	2,592	0	0
Maine	7,504	6,124	0	0	0	0	910	226	233	11
Massachusetts <sup>b</sup>	184,308	**	**	**	**	**	**	1	**	184,308
New Hampshire <sup>b</sup>	4,549	4,549	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey <sup>a</sup>	128,737	67,976	**	38,814	**	19,647	~	2,300	**	0
New York	119,405	102,703	**	**	0	**	16,488	214	0	0
Pennsylvania	186,973	131,287	**	**	16,963	21,540	0	17,183	**	0
Rhode Island <sup>c</sup>	26,754	11,839	**	**	8,222	**	5,315	956	422	0
Vermont <sup>a,b</sup>	6,940	5,800	~	41	73	0	751	275	0	0
Midwest	1,017,359	443,840	1,281	1,899	67,103	11,124	19,560	13,129	1,852	457,571
Illinois <sup>a</sup>	144,904	99,817	0	0	42,487	0	0	2,600	0	0
Indiana <sup>a</sup>	131,291	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	131,291
Iowa <sup>b</sup>	22,958	22,958	**	**	~	**	**	1	**	0
Kansas <sup>b</sup>	16,263	16,263	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Michigan <sup>a,b</sup>	175,591	88,967	152	423	1,050	438	5,070	15	193	79,283
Minnesota	127,627	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	127,627
Missouri	57,360	52,174	270	**	**	280	271	3,698	**	667
Nebraska	19,606	17,090	0	0	0	58	2,031	427	0	0
North Dakota	4,233	3,770	0	0	0	0	0	463	0	0
Ohio <sup>a,b,d</sup>	260,962	95,529	759	1,476	23,566	4,170	11,125	3,975	1,659	118,703
South Dakota <sup>b</sup>	6,146	6,146	**	**	**	**	**	1	**	0
Wisconsin	50,418	41,126	100	0	0	6,178	1,063	1,951	0	0
South	1,722,704	1,038,660	11,380	4,738	93,461	157,647	129,132	43,565	57,417	186,704
Alabama <sup>a</sup>	53,252	40,022	174	2,019	**	8,150	300	1,131	**	1,456
Arkansas	31,169	19,736	**	**	4,242	5,821	**	1,370	0	0
Delaware	17,216	12,278	**	1,152	**	**	3,180	606	**	0
District of Columbia	8,581	4,492	201	0	75	121	1,726	410	1,554	2
Florida <sup>a,b</sup>	279,760	162,564	175	94	38,561	28,153	11,630	5,645	668	32,270
Georgia <sup>a</sup>	397,081	126,488	3,855	114	1,467	6,802	107,196	8,000	**	143,159
Kentucky <sup>a</sup>	51,035	43,031	**	307	4,365	1,240	**	1,669	423	0
Louisiana	40,025	35,918	184	0	0	1,858	0	2,065	0	0
Maryland	96,360	57,222	**	**	31,376	5,577	883	1,302	0	0
Mississippi	22,267	21,091	245	0	0	0	0	931	0	0
North Carolina	109,678	88,537	6,012	**	~	14,045	**	1,084	0	0
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup>	27,940	21,142	**	1,052	**	2,549	**	1,220	**	1,977
South Carolina	41,254	25,553	47	0	7,989	5,736	939	990	0	0
Tennessee <sup>a</sup>	58,109	44,086	487	**	7,909 **	7,560	3,278	2,698	0	0
Texas <sup>a</sup>	427,080	288,753	**	**	5,386	70,035	3,276	8,134	54,772	0
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	53,614	47,747	0	0	0	70,033	0	5,867	0	0
West Virginia	8,283	4/,/4/	**	**	**	**	**	443	**	7,840
vvest viigiiia	0,283		1				1	443	. "	/,840

#### Appendix Table 8. (cont.)

#### Adults on probation, by status of supervision, 2008

				N	Number of a	dults on proba	tion, 2008			
Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2008	Active	Residential/ other treatment program	Financial conditions remaining	Inactive	Absconder	Warrant status	Supervised out of jurisdiction	Other	Unknown or not reported
West	786,386	283,545	10,369	23	48,576	37,204	7,075	11,452	1,172	386,970
Alaska <sup>b</sup>	6,708	5,823	0	0	0	885	0	/	0	0
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	82,232	42,806	**	**	16,402	10,413	**	2,226	**	10,385
California <sup>a</sup>	325,069	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	325,069
Colorado <sup>a,b</sup>	88,912	82,282	702	**	663	84	1,838	3,120	**	223
Hawaii <sup>a</sup>	19,097	16,051	218	**	**	**	2,450	378	**	0
Idaho <sup>a,e</sup>	49,513	27,566	0	**	20,017	3	999	920	8	0
Montana <sup>b</sup>	9,072	8,021	553	**	**	68	**	1	**	429
Nevada	13,337	9,895	**	**	56	2,566	**	820	0	0
New Mexico <sup>a</sup>	20,883	14,219	**	**	2,940	1,764	**	1,960	0	0
Oregon	41,888	26,716	4	**	52	14,115	**	997	0	4
Utah	11,103	9,869	0	0	0	811	87	325	0	11
Washington <sup>a,b</sup>	113,134	35,570	8,712	23	8,446	6,455	1,637	278	1,164	50,849
Wyoming	5,438	4,727	180	0	0	40	64	427	0	0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable

<sup>/</sup>Not reported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for status of supervision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>December 31, 2008, population excludes probationers in one or more statuses. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Active includes an unknown number of probationers in a residential/other treatment program and inactive includes an unknown number of probationers who were an absconder.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Absconder includes a small, unknown number of probationers who were on warrant status.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

# Appendix Table 9. Adults on probation, by type of offense, 2008

	Probation	Nun	nber of adults or	n probation	n, 2008
Region and	population,				Unknown or
jurisdiction	12/31/2008	Felony	Misdemeanor	Other <sup>a</sup>	not reported
U.S. total	4,270,917	1,678,625	1,632,959	83,313	876,020
Federal	22,748	16,688	3,822	2,209	29
State	4,248,169	1,661,937	1,629,137	81,104	875,991
Northeast	721,720	239,269	268,182	22,936	191,333
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	56,550	30,537	25,447	452	114
Maine	7,504	5,356	2,148	0	0
Massachusetts	184,308	**	**	**	184,308
New Hampshire	4,549	**	**	**	4,549
New Jersey <sup>b</sup>	128,737	62,952	51,641	14,144	0
New York	119,405	60,347	56,796	172	2,090
Pennsylvania	186,973	59,201	120,410	7,189	173
Rhode Island	26,754	18,425	7,349	979	1
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	6,940	2,451	4,391	0	98
Midwest	1,017,359	322,391	471,775	836	222,357
Illinois	144,904	**	**	**	144,904
Indiana <sup>b</sup>	131,291	55,600	75,691	0	0
Iowa	22,958	8,965	13,970	23	0
Kansas	16,263	3,635	12,628	0	0
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	175,591	60,090	88,988	479	26,034
Minnesota	127,627	44,537	83,090	0	0
Missouri	57,360	55,378	1,315	**	667
Nebraska	19,606	5,055	14,551	0	0
North Dakota	4,233	3,061	1,076	96	0
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	260,962	57,214	152,900	238	50,610
South Dakota	6,146	4,330	1,816	0	0
Wisconsin	50,418	24,526	25,750	0	142
South	1,722,704	923,581	697,571	32,692	68,860
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	53,252	**	5,102	**	48,150
Arkansas	31,169	29,084	1,783	0	302
Delaware	17,216	4,690	11,237	26	1,263
District of Columbia	8,581	2,337	2,234	2,761	1,249
Florida <sup>b</sup>	279,760	178,068	90,351	2,711	8,630
Georgia	397,081	150,949	246,132	0	0
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	51,035	21,895	29,140	0	0
Louisiana	40,025	39,095	930	0	0
Maryland	96,360	27,745	48,798	19,817	0
Mississippi	22,267	22,267	0	0	0
North Carolina	109,678	38,272	70,901	0	505
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	27,940	23,752	3,710	0	478
South Carolina	41,254	24,920	8,957	7,377	0
Tennessee <sup>b</sup>	58,109	47,973	10,136	0	0
Texas <sup>b</sup>	427,080	258,920	168,160	0	0
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	53,614	53,614	0	0	0
West Virginia	8,283	**	**	**	8,283

Appendix Table 9. (cont.) Adults on probation, by type of offense, 2008

	Probation	Nun	nber of adults o	n probatio	ı, 2008
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Felony	Misdemeanor	Othera	Unknown or not reported
West	786,386	176,696	191,609	24,640	393,441
Alaska	6,708	6,708	0	0	0
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	82,232	49,389	8,473	20,576	3,794
California <sup>b</sup>	325,069	**	**	**	325,069
Coloradob	88,912	16,344	69,423	1,209	1,936
Hawaii <sup>b</sup>	19,097	11,125	5,234	2,738	0
Idaho <sup>b</sup>	49,513	13,652	35,861	0	0
Montana	9,072	8,483	407	**	182
Nevada <sup>b</sup>	13,337	8,865	4,472	0	0
New Mexicob	20,883	**	5,007	**	15,876
Oregon	41,888	27,365	12,745	0	1,778
Utah	11,103	8,384	2,602	117	0
Washington <sup>b</sup>	113,134	23,241	45,087	**	44,806
Wyoming	5,438	3,140	2,298	0	0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes probationers under supervision for: a petty, traffic, DWI, or domestic violence offense, a city ordinance violation, a civil protection order, due to a deferred sentence or placed on supervision after being released on bail, and other types of offenses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of offense.

#### Appendix Table 10.

#### Adults on probation, by most serious offense, 2008

					Number	of adults on	probation, 2008	3		
Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2008	Domestic violence	Sex offense	Other violent	Property offense	Drug law	Driving while intoxicated or under the influence	Other traf- fic offense	Other <sup>a</sup>	Unknown or
U.S. total	4,270,917	85,758	69,035	275,031	576,467	646,493	308,258	81,878	220,694	2,007,303
Federal	22,748	2	192	372	10,692	3,336	1,660	417	6,005	72
State	4,248,169	85,756	68,843	274,659	565,775	643,157	306,598	81,461	214,689	2,007,231
Northeast	721,720	11,842	8,982	45,768	100,540	105,197	35,831	9,768	30,291	373,501
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	56,550	4,115	**	8,236	12,790	11,454	4,301	4,592	11,062	0
Maine	7,504	1,687	663	287	2,260	1,253	655	388	0	311
Massachusetts	184,308	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	184,308
New Hampshire	4,549	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	4,549
New Jersey <sup>b</sup>	128,737	2,799	1,574	11,648	48,234	60,364	3,293	825	0	0
New York	119,405	2,7 >>	4,747	20,190	31,138	22,565	25,637	2,644	10,166	2,318
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	186,973	**	309	698	1,250	1,137	250	**	1,412	181,917
Rhode Island	26,754	2,639	1,150	3,732	3,074	7,811	381	843	7,124	0
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	6,940	602	539	977	1,794	613	1,314	476	527	98
Midwest	1,017,359	24,590	16,974	29,621	57,568	97,980	43,110	27,890	26,088	693,538
Illinois	1,017,339	24,390	10,9/4	29,021	37,306	97,900 **	45,110 **	27,090	20,000	144,904
Indianab	131,291	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	131,291
Iowa		**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	· ·
Kansas	22,958	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	22,958
	16,263									16,263
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	175,591	5,537	1,080	1,378	4,178	6,183	10,322	2,548	10,317	134,048
Minnesota	127,627	5,584	13,083	16,819	14,758	53,582	9,448	14,334	0	19
Missouri	57,360	4,951	358	4,651	17,221	21,116	3,820	1,069	3,507	667
Nebraska	19,606	984	173	981	2,533	1,352	7,836	2,418	3,329	0
North Dakota Ohio <sup>b,d</sup>	4,233									4,233
	260,962	7,534	2,280	5,792	18,878	15,747	11,684	7,521	8,935	182,591
South Dakota	6,146	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	6,146
Wisconsin	50,418									50,418
South	1,722,704	38,080	32,675	166,985	356,805	379,598	189,465	40,487	148,318	370,291
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	53,252	300	**	**	375	1,100	100	600	1,171	49,606
Arkansas	31,169	1,767	1,481	1,091	6,759	10,658	29	75	9,309	0
Delaware	17,216	285	366	2,891	2,910	2,018	3,915	**	4,831	0
District of Columbia	8,581	77	136	1,831	934	2,769	869	199	1,390	376
Floridab	279,760	4,098	2,989	35,808	75,626	56,378	21,644	20,320	20,324	42,573
Georgia <sup>b</sup>	397,081	453	6,926	25,428	52,856	54,552	1,364	**	10,037	245,465
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	51,035	9,107	521	4,182	10,751	16,469	5,836	2	4,167	0
Louisiana	40,025	158	900	2,538	11,729	19,011	1,976	83	2,710	920
Maryland	96,360	**	**	14,237	15,781	25,757	19,817	3,936	16,832	0
Mississippi	22,267	217	828	2,006	9,141	9,016	965	49	45	0
North Carolina	109,678	3,026	2,156	10,945	20,052	24,541	18,095	12,206	0	18,657
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	27,940	399	1,482	2,953	6,163	10,132	2,812	116	1,906	1,977
South Carolina	41,254	1,191	1,075	5,356	14,404	10,134	1,276	1,777	6,041	0
Tennessee <sup>b</sup>	58,109	2,225	914	4,516	10,008	11,751	3,445	145	23,207	1,898
Texas <sup>b</sup>	427,080	14,777	12,428	45,697	95,197	108,692	106,300	**	43,989	0
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	53,614	0	473	7,506	24,119	16,620	1,022	979	2,359	536
West Virginia	8,283	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	8,283

#### Appendix Table 10. (cont.)

#### Adults on probation, by most serious offense, 2008

					Number	of adults or	probation, 200	8		
Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2008	Domes- tic vio- lence	Sex offense	Other violent offense	Property offense	Drug law	Driving while intoxicated or under the influence	Other traffic offense	Other <sup>a</sup>	Unknown or not reported
West	786,386	11,244	10,212	32,285	50,862	60,382	38,192	3,316	9,992	569,901
Alaska	6,708	44	263	1,187	751	388	404	93	770	2,808
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	82,232	1,096	4,669	10,955	23,531	24,547	6,748	824	5,049	4,813
California	325,069	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	325,069
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	88,912	2,835	65	446	826	585	15,217	718	428	67,792
Hawaii <sup>b</sup>	19,097	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	19,097
Idaho	49,513	440	913	1,671	4,249	4,769	1,520	90	**	35,861
Montana	9,072	315	550	1,367	3,256	1,564	702	21	495	802
Nevada	13,337	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	13,337
New Mexico <sup>b</sup>	20,883	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	20,883
Oregon	41,888	957	**	8,236	8,405	11,426	4,161	0	0	8,703
Utah	11,103	301	786	1,205	3,217	3,569	815	365	682	163
Washington <sup>b</sup>	113,134	4,969	2,692	6,666	5,180	11,874	7,745	1,121	2,314	70,573
Wyoming	5,438	287	274	552	1,447	1,660	880	84	254	0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes probationers under supervision for a public-order offense, such as a weapon, immigration, obstruction of justice, drunkenness, vagrancy, and commercialized vice offense, and other offenses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for most serious offense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Detailed data represent state probationers only. Detailed data are not available for county probationers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Other includes an unknown number of probationers who were supervised for a property offense.

### Appendix Table 11.

#### Adults on probation tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), also on parole, or incarcerated, 2008

eation t  otal  2,096 82 2,014 910 **  **  908  ~  **  **  2 7,513  **	racked by GPS  Sex offenders 7,946 11 7,935 681 ** ** 680 ~ ** ** ** **	On parole  3,233	Jail 23,799 ~ 23,799 899 *** 109 **	Prison 32,443 ~ 32,443 3,709 ** 11 **	Incarcerated Community-based correctional facility 12,853	2,474 ~ 2,474 2 ** 0
2,096 82 2,014 910 ** ** 908 ~ ** ** 2	7,946 11 7,935 681 ** ** 680 ~ ** **	3,233 ~ 3,233 9 ** 9 **	23,799 ~ 23,799 899 ** 109 **	32,443 ~ 32,443 3,709 ** 11	12,853	2,474 ~ 2,474 2 ** 0
2,096 82 2,014 910 ** ** 908 ~ ** ** 2	7,946 11 7,935 681 ** ** 680 ~ ** **	3,233 ~ 3,233 9 ** 9 **	23,799 ~ 23,799 899 ** 109 **	32,443 ~ 32,443 3,709 ** 11	12,853 ~ 12,853 10 ** 0	2,474 ~ 2,474 2 ** 0
82 2,014 910 ** ** 908 ~ ** ** ** 2	11 7,935 681 ** ** 680 ~ ** **	~ 3,233 9 *** 9 *** ~ ~	23,799 899 ** 109 **	32,443 3,709 ** 11	12,853 10 **	2,474 2 ** 0
2,014 910 ** ** 908 ~ ** ** 2	7,935 681 ** ** 680 ~ ** ** **	3,233 9 *** 9 **	23,799 899 ** 109 **	32,443 3,709 ** 11 **	12,853 10 ** 0	2,474
910 ** ** 908 ~ ** ** 2 7,513	681  **  **  680  ~  **  **  **  **	9 ** 9 ** ~	899 ** 109 **	3,709 ** 11 **	10 ** 0	2 ** 0
**  908  ~  **  **  908  ~  **  **  2  7,513	**  **  680  ~  **  **  **	** 9 ** ~	** 109 **	** 11 **	**	**
** 908 ~ ~ ** ** 2 7,513	** 680 ~ ~ ** **	9 **	109	11	0	0
908 ~ ~ ~ ** ** ~ ~ ~ 2 7,513	680	**	**	**		
~ ~ ** ** ~ 2 7,513	~ ~ ** **	~ ~			**	
~ ** ** ~ 2 7,513	~ ** **	~	~			**
**  **  ~  2  7,513	**			~	~	~
** ~ 2 7,513	**	~	~	~	~	~
~ 2 7,513			~	~	~	~
2 7,513	~	**	790	**	10	2
7,513		0	~	3,291	~	~
*	1	**	**	407	**	**
**	5,165	688	2,569	1,769	1,497	18
	**	**	**	**	**	**
~	~	**	**	**	**	**
379	307	~	~	~	591	~
**	**	**	**	**	**	**
203	19	249	183	404	40	13
**	**	**	**	**	**	**
23	8	**	**	**	**	**
~	~	**	**	**	**	**
35	35	**	**	**	**	**
	10	379	2,386	110	866	5
~	~	~	~	~	~	~
1,786	4,786	60	**	1,255	**	**
			14,758		7,432	1,046
**	**	**		**	**	**
~	~	**		122	115	**
113	**	**	**		9	**
327	19	311	0		0	6
		**	-			1,003
47		**	**	**		**
**	**	327	226	57	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**
~	~		-			19
~	~		-			0
~	~	**	**	**	**	**
135	135	411	**	**	**	**
	**	**	**	**	**	**
	12	**	**	**	**	**
		54	1,901	2.62	**	18
**	**	**			3,409	**
122		**	**	**	**	**
17.3			839	**		
	2,087 ~ 1,786 8,164 ** ~ 113 327 2,159 47 ** ~ ~ 135 35 14 211 **	2,087 10	2,087 10 379  ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2,087     10     379     2,386       ~     ~     ~     ~       4,786     60     **       8,164     1,897     1,279     14,758       **     **     **     2,126       ~     ~     **     791       113     **     **     **       327     19     311     0       2,159     1,477     **     1,352       47     47     **     **       **     **     327     226       ~     ~     0     0       ~     ~     0     0       ~     ~     0     0       ~     **     **       135     135     411     **       35     **     **     **       14     12     **     **       211     207     54     1,901       **     **     4,230       123     0     **	2,087     10     379     2,386     110       2,086     -     -     -     -       4,786     60     **     1,255       8,164     1,897     1,279     14,758     17,593       **     **     **     2,126     **       -     -     **     791     122       113     **     **     **     26       327     19     311     0     543       2,159     1,477     **     1,352     1,357       47     47     **     **     **       ***     **     327     226     57       -     -     176     3,293     4       -     -     0     0     10,736       -     -     **     **     **       135     135     411     **     **       35     **     **     **     **       14     12     **     **     **       211     207     54     1,901     262       **     **     4,486	2,087     10     379     2,386     110     866       2,086     4,786     60     **     1,255     **       3,164     1,897     1,279     14,758     17,593     7,432       **     **     **     **     **       ~     ~     **     791     122     115       113     **     **     **     26     9       327     19     311     0     543     0       2,159     1,477     **     1,352     1,357     6       47     47     **     **     **     3,855       **     **     327     226     57     1       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     ~     0     10,736     0       ~     **

#### Appendix Table 11. (cont.)

## Adults on probation tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), also on parole, or incarcerated, 2008

Region and jurisdiction		Number of adults on probation, 2008									
	Location t	Location tracked by GPS		Incarcerated							
	Total	Sex offenders	On parole	Jail	Prison	Community-based correctional facility	ICE holding facility				
West	427	192	1,257	5,573	9,372	3,914	1,408				
Alaska	~	~	~	~	~	~	~				
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	148	145	**	1,597	9,106	**	345				
California	**	**	**	**	**	**	**				
Colorado <sup>a</sup>	35	35	20	52	7	3,609	62				
Hawaii	~	~	**	**	**	**	**				
Idaho	24	10	**	7	1	**	**				
Montana	3	**	**	**	**	**	**				
Nevada	204	2	**	**	**	**	**				
New Mexico <sup>a</sup>	**	**	998	**	**	**	**				
Oregon	~	~	0	78	0	0	885				
Utah	**	**	0	1,780	34	90	6				
Washington <sup>a</sup>	13	**	227	2,059	189	131	110				
Wyoming	~	~	12	**	35	84	0				

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>A sex offender is defined as any offender convicted of a sex offense, ordered to a special condition for sex offender treatment, or fulfilling other sex offender treatment requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>The total number of probationers tracked by GPS was unknown but there were at least 4,786 tracked by GPS because 4,786 sex offenders on probation were monitored by GPS. Prison only includes probationers incarcerated in a state prison in Wisconsin; the count does not include probationers, if any, incarcerated in a federal prison.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>See Explanatory notes for more detail.

# Appendix Table 12. Adults on parole, 2008

	Parole		20	008		Parole	Percent	Number on parole
Region and	population,	En	tries	E	xits	population,	change,	per 100,000 adults
jurisdiction	1/1/2008	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	12/31/2008	2008	residents, 12/31/2008
U.S. total <sup>b</sup>	821,177	548,575	581,000	536,478	574,000	828,169	0.9%	358
Federal	91,395	46,796	46,796	39,562	39,562	98,629	7.9	43
Stateb	729,782	501,779	534,200	496,916	534,500	729,540	0.0	315
Northeast	155,192	55,852	87,000	56,232	92,400	149,825	-3.5%	351
Connecticut	2,177	2,366	2,366	2,215	2,215	2,328	6.9	86
Maine	32	0	0	1	1	31	-3.1	3
Massachusetts <sup>c</sup>	3,121	4,684	4,684	4,620	4,620	3,185	2.1	63
New Hampshire <sup>c</sup>	1,653	945	945	937	937	1,661	0.5	162
New Jersey <sup>d</sup>	15,055	10,249	10,249	9,455	9,455	15,849	5.3	238
New York	53,669	25,507	25,507	26,951	26,951	52,225	-2.7	345
Pennsylvania <sup>c,e</sup>	78,107	10,804	42,000	10,973	47,100	72,951	-6.6	751
Rhode Island <sup>d</sup>	442	625	625	552	552	515	16.5	63
Vermont <sup>d,f</sup>	936	672	672	528	528	1,080	15.4	219
Midwest	138,287	105,366	105,366	101,755	101,755	141,898	2.6%	280
Illinois	35,086	32,657	32,657	34,060	34,060	33,683	-4.0	345
Indiana <sup>d</sup>	10,375	11,200	11,200	10,938	10,938	10,637	2.5	221
Iowa <sup>d,f</sup>	3,546	2,155	2,155	2,542	2,542	3,159	-10.9	137
Kansas <sup>d</sup>	4,842	4,920	4,920	4,804	4,804	4,958	2.4	235
Michigan	21,131	11,557	11,557	10,165	10,165	22,523	6.6	296
Minnesota	4,756	5,827	5,827	5,502	5,502	5,081	6.8	127
Missouri	18,656	15,507	15,507	13,480	13,480	20,683	10.9	459
Nebraska	800	1,012	1,012	966	966	846	5.8	63
North Dakota	340	837	837	793	793	384	12.9	77
Ohio	18,390	10,096	10,096	9,367	9,367	19,119	4.0	218
South Dakota	2,812	1,850	1,850	1,942	1,942	2,720	-3.3	446
Wisconsin	17,553	7,748	7,748	7,196	7,196	18,105	3.1	418
South <sup>b</sup>	240,465	108,575	109,800	104,391	105,800	244,071	1.5%	288
Alabama <sup>c,f</sup>	7,790	2,960	2,960	2,708	2,708	8,042	3.2	226
Arkansas	18,617	9,685	9,685	8,394	8,394	19,908	6.9	920
Delaware <sup>c</sup>	535	417	417	401	401	551	3.0	82
District of Columbia	5,417	2,417	2,417	1,699	1,699	6,135	13.3	1,274
Florida <sup>c,f</sup>	4,654	7,219	7,219	7,345	7,345	4,528	-2.7	31
Georgia	23,111	11,621	11,621	11,284	11,284	23,448	1.5	326
Kentucky <sup>c</sup>	13,097	6,166	6,166	6,986	6,986	12,277	-6.3	375
Louisiana <sup>c</sup>	24,085	13,812	13,812	13,261	13,261	24,636	2.3	742
Maryland <sup>c</sup>	13,856	7,348	7,348	7,984	7,984	13,220	-4.6	307
Mississippi	2,015	1,819	1,819	912	912	2,922	45.0	134
North Carolina <sup>c</sup>	3,311	3,653	3,653	3,555	3,555	3,409	3.0	48
Oklahoma <sup>f</sup>	2,929	1,413	1,413	1,269	1,269	3,073	4.9	112
South Carolina	2,289	435	435	777	777	1,947	-14.9	57
Tennessee <sup>c</sup>	10,481	4,085	4,085	3,639	3,639	10,578	0.9	222
Texas	101,748	33,992	33,992	32,819	32,819	102,921	1.2	579
Virginia <sup>d,g</sup>	/	/	1,200	/	1,400	4,471	:	75
West Virginia	1,830	1,533	1,533	1,358	1,358	2,005	9.6	140

## Appendix Table 12. (cont.) Adults on parole, 2008

			20	008				Number on parole
	Parole	En	tries	E	xits	Parole	Percent	per 100,000 adults residents, 12/31/2008  363 339 156 438 309 188 300 118 200
Region and jurisdiction	population, 1/1/2008	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	population, 12/31/2008	change, 2008	residents, 12/31/ 2008
West	195,838	231,986	231,986	234,538	234,538	193,746	-1.1 %	363
Alaska	1,539	863	863	670	670	1,732	12.5	339
Arizona <sup>d</sup>	6,755	13,433	13,433	12,654	12,654	7,534	11.5	156
California <sup>d</sup>	123,764	181,021	181,021	184,492	184,492	120,753	-2.4	438
Colorado <sup>c,f</sup>	11,014	9,674	9,674	9,034	9,034	11,654	5.8	309
Hawaii <sup>c</sup>	2,015	645	645	756	756	1,904	-5.5	188
Idaho <sup>c</sup>	3,114	1,771	1,771	1,524	1,524	3,361	7.9	300
Montana <sup>d</sup>	966	663	663	744	744	885	-8.4	118
Nevada	3,653	4,486	4,486	4,231	4,231	3,908	7.0	200
New Mexico <sup>c,f</sup>	3,527	2,019	2,019	1,822	1,822	3,724	5.6	249
Oregon	22,196	8,832	8,832	8,833	8,833	22,195	0.0	754
Utah	3,572	2,424	2,424	2,395	2,395	3,601	0.8	189
Washington	13,017	5,816	5,816	7,065	7,065	11,768	-9.6	233
Wyoming <sup>c</sup>	706	339	339	318	318	727	3.0	178

Note: Because of nonresponse or incomplete data, the parole population for some jurisdictions on December 31, 2008, does not equal the population on January 1, plus entries, minus exits. Rates were computed using the estimated adult resident population in each jurisdiction on January 1, 2009. /Not reported.

<sup>:</sup>Not calculated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Reflects reported data except for jurisdictions in which data were not available. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Includes an estimated 4,700 parolees under supervision in Virginia on January 1. See *Methodology* for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>See Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Population excludes parolees in one of the following categories: absconder or supervised out of state. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Data for entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting county agencies. See *Methodology*. The December 31, 2008, population includes 25,306 parolees under state parole supervision. Reported entries reflect parolees who entered state parole supervision through a discretionary release from prison.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>Some or all data were estimated.

gVirginia was unable to provide data for January 1, entries, and exits. In addition due to a change in reporting methods, data may not be comparable to data reported in prior years. See *Methodology* and *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

## Appendix Table 13.

## Adults entering parole, by type of sentence, 2008

			Number of	f adults entering p	arole, 2008		
Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Discretionary <sup>a</sup>	Mandatory <sup>b</sup>	Reinstatement <sup>c</sup>	Term of super- vised release <sup>d</sup>	Othere	Unknown or not reported
U.S. total	548,575	146,370	270,301	43,749	68,305	11,927	7,923
Federal	46,796	731	507	329	45,229	0	0
State	501,779	145,639	269,794	43,420	23,076	11,927	7,923
Northeast	55,852	36,216	8,635	355	9,252	1,394	0
Connecticut <sup>f</sup>	2,366	1,644	0	**	722	0	0
Maine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Massachusetts	4,684	4,463	0	221	0	0	0
New Hampshire	945	945	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey	10,249	7,800	2,449	0	0	0	0
New York <sup>f</sup>	25,507	9,454	6,186	~	8,530	1,337	0
Pennsylvania <sup>g</sup>	10,804	10,804	0	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island	625	625	**	**	**	**	0
Vermont <sup>h</sup>	672	481	~	134	0	57	0
Midwest	105,366	28,195	61,074	1,989	9,112	2,457	2,539
Illinois	32,657	19	31,351	379	~	673	235
Indiana	11,200	0	11,200	0	0	0	0
Iowa <sup>h</sup>	2,155	**	**	**	**	**	2,155
Kansas <sup>f</sup>	4,920	182	10	112	3,148	1,319	149
Michigan	11,557	10,515	628	414	0	0	0
Minnesota	5,827	0	5,827	0	0	0	0
Missouri	15,507	13,259	1,228	666	0	354	0
Nebraska	1,012	1,012	~	0	~	0	0
North Dakota	837	837	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio	10,096	1,250	8,430	416	0	0	0
South Dakota	1,850	726	1,085	2	0	37	0
Wisconsin	7,748	395	1,315	0	5,964	74	0
South	108,575	66,215	28,054	4,102	4,706	2,196	3,302
Alabama <sup>h</sup>	2,960	75	**	**	**	**	2,885
Arkansas	9,685	9,063	**	**	281	341	0
Delaware	417	**	**	**	**	**	417
District of Columbia	2,417	762	0	0	1,655	0	0
Florida	7,219	47	6,715	7	440	10	0
Georgia	11,621	8,273	0	3,348	0	0	0
Kentucky	6,166	5,778	91	8	~	289	0
Louisiana	13,812	836	12,666	310	**	0	0
Maryland	7,348	2,390	4,958	0	**	0	0
Mississippi	1,819	1,693	126	0	0	0	0
North Carolina <sup>h</sup>	3,653	357	966	~	2,330	0	0
Oklahoma <sup>h</sup>	1,413	1,413	0	0	0	0	0
South Carolina	435	435	0	0	0	0	0
Tennessee	4,085	3,759	10	298	0	18	0
Texas	33,992	29,801	2,522	131	~	1,538	0
Virginia <sup>i</sup>	1	/	/	/	/	/	/
West Virginia	1,533	1,533	0	0	0	0	0

### Appendix Table 13. (cont.)

### Adults entering parole, by type of sentence, 2008

			Number of	adults entering p	arole, 2008		
Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Discretionary <sup>a</sup>	Mandatory <sup>b</sup>	Reinstatement <sup>c</sup>	Term of super- vised release <sup>d</sup>	Othere	Unknown or not reported
West	231,986	15,013	172,031	36,974	6	5,880	2,082
Alaska	863	60	801	2	0	0	0
Arizona	13,433	44	13,389	0	0	0	0
California	181,021	6	139,368	36,513	**	5,134	0
Colorado	9,674	5,304	3,702	0	0	668	0
Hawaii	645	645	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	1,771	1,476	~	295	~	0	0
Montana	663	575	0	10	0	78	0
Nevada <sup>h</sup>	4,486	3,016	1,382	88	~	0	0
New Mexico <sup>h</sup>	2,019	**	**	**	**	**	2,019
Oregon	8,832	1,152	7,603	8	6	0	63
Utah	2,424	2,388	0	36	0	0	0
Washington	5,816	30	5,786	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	339	317	0	22	0	0	0

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed entries to parole, see appendix table 12.

/Not reported.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Discretionary parole entries are persons entering because of a parole board decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Mandatory parole entries are persons whose releases from prison were not decided by a parole board. Includes those entering because of determinate sentencing statutes, good-time provisions, or emergency releases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Reinstatement entries are persons returned to parole after serving time in a prison because of a parole violation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Term of supervised release entries are persons sentenced by a judge to a fixed period of incarceration based on a determinate statute immediately followed by a period of supervised released in the community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Includes parolees who were: transferred from another state, released temporarily to parole, released from a boot camp operated by the Department of Corrections, released from prison through a conditional medical or mental health release to parole, absconders that were returned to parole supervision, on pretrial supervision, sentenced to community supervision for life because of a sex offense and others, under supervision due to a suspended sentence, and others.

fSee Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup>Data represent state parolees only. Data are not available for county parolees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup>Virginia was unable to provide data. An estimated 1,200 adults entered parole supervision in Virginia. See *Methodology* for more detail.

# Appendix Table 14. Adults exiting parole, by type of exit, 2008

							parole, 2008		1		
			]	Returned to	incarceratio	n		0.4			
Region and	Total		With new	With	To receive	Other/		Other unsatis-			Unknown or
jurisdiction	reported	Completion			treatment		Absconder	factory	Death	Otherb	not reported
U.S. total	536,478	259,372	48,872	133,947	155	4,801	56,209	9,229	5,033	11,149	7,711
Federal	39,562	22,157	1,330	8,212	1	52	1,670	2,292	500	630	2,718
State	496,916	237,215	47,542	125,735	154	4,749	54,539	6,937	4,533	10,519	4,993
Northeast	56,232	32,468	4,011	14,631	**	2,665	140	**	598	782	937
Connecticut <sup>c</sup>	2,215	1,159	0	0	0	1,001	55	0	**	0	0
Maine	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Massachusetts	4,620	3,701	216	664	0	20	0	0	19	0	0
New Hampshire	937	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	937
New Jersey	9,455	7,469	168	1,680	0	0	85	0	53	0	0
New York <sup>b</sup>	26,951	14,280	1,709	9,032	~	1,617	~	0	313	0	0
Pennsylvania <sup>d</sup>	10,973	5,094	1,873	3,029	0	0	0	0	210	767	0
Rhode Island	552	428	27	97	**	0	0	0	0	**	0
Vermont <sup>e</sup>	528	337	18	129	~	27	0	0	2	15	0
Midwest	101,755	60,880	9,684	22,878	**	0	4,203	38	640	3,032	400
Illinois <sup>e</sup>	34,060	20,354	3,607	8,182	~	~	870	0	71	588	388
Indiana	10,938	6,728	268	585	0	0	1,227	0	47	2,082	1
Iowa	2,542	1,755	**	765	**	0	0	1	16	5	0
Kansas <sup>c</sup>	4,804	2,037	153	1,188	~	0	1,285	0	29	112	0
Michigan	10,165	6,093	2,023	1,905	0	0	0	0	144	0	0
Minnesota	5,502	2,964	281	1,835	0	0	421	0	1	0	0
Missouri	13,480	9,616	553	3,171	**	0	0	0	140	0	0
Nebraska	966	705	32	223	~	0	0	0	6	0	0
North Dakota	793	598	11	176	0	0	6	0	2	0	0
Ohio	9,367	5,760	2,057	995	0	0	237	0	97	221	0
South Dakota	1,942	851	120	768	0	0	157	0	12	23	11
Wisconsin	7,196	3,419	579	3,085	0	0	0	37	75	1	0
South	104,391	66,888	11,452	13,861	154	2,077	985	4,684	1,863	1,508	919
Alabama	2,708	1,517	532	345	0	5	0	0	72	237	0
Arkansas	8,394	4,033	1,060	2,224	**	118	292	0	152	515	0
Delaware <sup>e</sup>	401	230	**	**	**	10	**	74	6	81	0
District of Columbia	1,699	464	**	**	**	658	0	77	77	422	1
Florida	7,345	5,066	381	985	154	0	0	0	40	9	710
Georgia	11,284	7,263	439	2,680	0	818	18	0	66	0	0
Kentucky	6,986	3,765	522	2,062	0	0	408	0	92	137	0
Louisiana	13,261	7,223	1,156	1,290	~	295	~	3,096	109	92	0
Maryland	7,984	4,822	868	773	**	~	~	1,398	119	4	0
Mississippi	912	668	0	0	0	172	66	0	6	0	0
North Carolina	3,555	2,844	96	159	~	0	180	39	29	0	208
Oklahoma <sup>e</sup>	1,269	964	119	146	0	0	0	0	40	0	0
South Carolina	777	577	23	153	0	0	0	0	14	10	0
Tennessee	3,639	1,951	785	818	0	1	0	0	83	1	0
Texas	32,819	24,726	5,456	1,691	0	0	0	0	946	0	0
Virginia <sup>f</sup>	1	/	1	1	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
West Virginia	1,358	775	15	535	0	0	21	0	12	0	0

## Appendix Table 14. (cont.)

### Adults exiting parole, by type of exit, 2008

				Nu	mber of adu	lts exiting p	parole, 2008				
			]	Returned to i	ncarceratio	n					
Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Completion	With new sentence	With revocation	To receive treatment	Other/ unknown	Absconder	Other unsatis- factory <sup>a</sup>	Death	Other <sup>b</sup>	Unknown or not reported
West	234,538	76,979	22,395	74,365	**	7	49,211	2,215	1,432	5,197	2,737
Alaska	670	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	670
Arizona	12,654	7,918	228	1,945	0	0	2,530	0	33	0	0
California	184,492	48,324	19,372	64,612	**	**	46,584	**	858	4,742	0
Colorado	9,034	3,954	1,200	3,572	0	0	1	0	71	236	0
Hawaii	756	368	4	230	0	0	0	0	24	0	130
Idaho	1,524	459	121	446	~	0	~	480	18	0	0
Montana	744	389	8	245	0	0	17	0	7	78	0
Nevada <sup>e</sup>	4,231	3,613	375	144	~	0	75	0	24	0	0
New Mexico <sup>e</sup>	1,822	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	1,822
Oregon	8,833	5,209	813	1,728	0	0	1	811	147	9	115
Utah	2,395	543	259	1,353	0	7	0	114	27	92	0
Washington <sup>c</sup>	7,065	6,034	**	**	**	0	0	810	221	0	0
Wyoming	318	168	15	90	**	0	3	0	2	40	0

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed exits from parole, see appendix table 12.

/Not reported.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes parolees discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, including some who had their parole sentence revoked but were not returned to incarceration because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Includes 5,052 parolees who were transferred to another state and 6,097 parolees who exited for other reasons. Other reasons include parolees who were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), had their sentence terminated by the court through an appeal, were transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement or discharged to probation supervision, and other types of exits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Data represent state parolees only. Data are not available for county parolees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated type of exit.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{f}}$ Virginia was unable to provide data. An estimated 1,400 adults exited parole supervision in Virginia. See  $^{\mathrm{Methodology}}$  for more detail.

## Appendix Table 15.

## Characteristics of adults on parole, 2000, 2004, and 2008

	Perce	nt of adults on p	arole
Characteristics	2000	2004	2008
Total	100%	100%	100%
Gender			
Male	88%	88%	88%
Female	12	12	12
Race and Hispanic origin			
White <sup>a</sup>	38%	40%	41%
Black <sup>a</sup>	40	40	38
Hispanic or Latino	21	18	19
American Indian/Alaska Native <sup>a</sup>	1	1	1
Asian/Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander <sup>a</sup>		1	1
Two or more races <sup>a</sup>			
Status of supervision			
Active	83%	84%	85%
Inactive	4	3	4
Absconder	7	7	6
Supervised out of state	5	4	4
Financial conditions remaining			
Other	1	1	1
Maximum sentence to incarceration			
Less than 1 year	3%	5%	6%
1 year or more	97	95	94
Most serious offense			
Violent		25%	26%
Property		26	23
Drug		38	37
Weapon			3
Other <sup>b</sup>		12	11

Note: Each characteristic includes persons of unknown type. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. See appendix tables 16 to 20 for 2008 data by jurisdiction.

<sup>--</sup>Less than 0.5%.

<sup>...</sup>Not available.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}{\rm Excludes}$  persons of Hispanic origin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Includes public-order offenses.

# Appendix Table 16. Adults on parole, by gender, 2008

Dagian ar J	Parole	Number o	or adults on J	parole, 2008
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Male	Female	Unknown or not reported
U.S. total	828,169	728,394	99,149	626
Federal	98,629	83,494	15,105	30
State	729,540		84,044	596
Northeast		644,900 130,509	19,112	204
	149,825	1	·	
Connecticut	2,328	1,971	153	204
Maine	31	30	1	0
Massachusetts	3,185	2,928	257	0
New Hampshire	1,661	1,462	199	0
New Jersey	15,849	14,703	1,146	0
New York	52,225	48,562	3,663	0
Pennsylvania	72,951	59,481	13,470	0
Rhode Island	515	481	34	0
Vermont*	1,080	891	189	0
Midwest	141,898	126,529	15,369	0
Illinois*	33,683	30,236	3,447	0
Indiana	10,637	9,410	1,227	0
Iowa	3,159	2,587	572	0
Kansas	4,958	4,527	431	0
Michigan	22,523	20,449	2,074	0
Minnesota	5,081	4,638	443	0
Missouri	20,683	17,632	3,051	0
Nebraska	846	724	122	0
North Dakota	384	307	77	0
Ohio				
	19,119	17,475	1,644	0
South Dakota	2,720	2,235	485	0
Wisconsin	18,105	16,309	1,796	0
South	244,071	216,926	27,145	0
Alabama*	8,042	7,190	852	0
Arkansas	19,908	17,181	2,727	0
Delaware	551	507	44	0
District of Columbia	6,135	5,642	493	0
Florida	4,528	4,242	286	0
Georgia	23,448	20,808	2,640	0
Kentucky	12,277	10,104	2,173	0
Louisiana	24,636	21,977	2,659	0
Maryland	13,220	12,190	1,030	0
Mississippi	2,922	2,405	517	0
North Carolina	3,409	3,227	182	0
Oklahoma*	3,073	2,529	544	0
South Carolina	1,947	1,753	194	0
Tennessee	10,578	9,270	1,308	0
Texas	102,921	92,099	10,822	0
			273	0
Virginia*	4,471	4,198		
West Virginia	2,005	1,604	401	0
West	193,746	170,936	22,418	392
Alaska	1,732	1,631	101	0
Arizona	7,534	6,446	1,088	0
California	120,753	107,983	12,770	0
Colorado	11,654	9,926	1,728	0
Hawaii	1,904	1,615	289	0
Idaho	3,361	2,862	499	0
Montana	885	762	123	0
Nevada*	3,908	3,499	409	0
New Mexico*	3,724	2,795	537	392
Oregon	22,195	19,324	2,871	0
Utah	3,601	3,085	516	0
Washington	11,768	10,410	1,358	0
Wyoming	727	598	1,338	0

<sup>\*</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for gender.

## Appendix Table 17.

## Adults on parole, by race and Hispanic origin, 2008

					Number of adul	ts on parole	, 2008		
Region and	Parole population,		Black/African	_	American Indian/Alas-		Native Hawaiian Other Pacific	Two or	Unknown or
jurisdiction	12/31/2008	White	American	Latino	kan Native	Asian	Islander	more races	not reported
U.S. total	828,169	326,730	304,866	151,602	8,306	5,383	2,245	120	28,917
Federal	98,629	35,474	37,275	21,138	1,958	2,382	217	0	185
State	729,540	291,256	267,591	130,464	6,348	3,001	2,028	120	28,732
Northeast	149,825	52,900	52,216	24,547	359	700	1,400	53	17,650
Connecticut	2,328	535	934	640	2	13	~	~	204
Maine	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Massachusetts	3,185	1,670	769	639	8	43	0	0	56
New Hampshire	1,661	1,403	96	0	4	7	1	0	150
New Jersey	15,849	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	15,849
New York	52,225	9,607	23,376	17,255	273	318	1,396	~	0
Pennsylvania	72,951	38,366	26,872	5,922	62	312	3	53	1,361
Rhode Island <sup>a</sup>	515	295	122	91	1	5	**	**	1
Vermont <sup>b,c</sup>	1,080	993	47	**	9	2	**	**	29
Midwest	141,898	69,506	61,099	8,451	2,013	533	6	10	280
Illinois <sup>b</sup>	33,683	9,597	19,444	4,484	42	95	**	**	21
Indiana	10,637	6,189	3,941	443	25	8	6	10	15
Iowa	3,159	2,464	501	122	50	22	~	**	0
Kansas	4,958	2,854	1,405	533	69	42	**	**	55
Michigan	22,523	10,225	11,826	225	157	45	0	0	45
Minnesota	5,081	3,090	1,170	396	323	101	**	**	1
Missouri	20,683	13,464	6,695	406	66	34	0	0	18
Nebraska	846	522	187	102	23	11	0	**	1
North Dakota	384	287	18	19	60	0	0	0	0
Ohio	19,119	10,477	8,412	153	38	20	0	0	19
South Dakota	2,720	1,870	140	71	603	**	**	**	36
Wisconsin <sup>d</sup>	18,105	8,467	7,360	1,497	557	155	**	**	69
South	244,071	92,698	116,997	33,004	368	432	31	20	521
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	8,042	2,856	5,135	41	2	8	0	0	0
Arkansas	19,908	11,256	8,020	526	26	55	0	**	25
Delaware	551	220	316	14	0	0	0	0	1
District of Columbia		77	5,943	96	2	3	1		
Florida	6,135 4,528			441		7	4	5	13
		1,597	2,466	999	6	11	0	0	86
Georgia	23,448	8,638	13,702				**		
Kentucky	12,277	8,937	3,229	69	6	21		15	0
Louisiana	24,636	8,292	16,256	33	6	18	23	**	8
Maryland	13,220	3,484	9,689		10	19			18
Mississippi	2,922	1,228	1,675	10	8	1	0	0	0
North Carolina	3,409	1,163	1,977	151	76	13	3	**	26
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	3,073	1,687	973	248	146	10	0	**	9
South Carolina	1,947	656	1,261	16	4	2	0	~	8
Tennessee	10,578	5,254	5,024	266	9	25	0	0	0
Texas	102,921	33,896	38,397	30,044	50	222	0	~	312
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	4,471	1,693	2,713	42	3	17	0	0	3
West Virginia	2,005	1,764	221	8	2	0	0	0	10

## Appendix Table 17. (cont.)

### Adults on parole, by race and Hispanic origin, 2008

					Number of adul	ts on parole	, 2008		
Region and jurisdiction	Parole population, 12/31/2008	White	Black/Afri- can American	Hispanic or Latino	American Indian/Alas- kan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	Two or more races	Unknown or not reported
West	193,746	76,152	37,279	64,462	3,608	1,336	591	37	10,281
Alaska	1,732	1,032	178	45	430	40	0	0	7
Arizona	7,534	3,470	971	2,576	412	20	0	0	85
California <sup>e</sup>	120,753	34,763	29,385	50,690	967	536	529	**	3,883
Colorado	11,654	5,639	1,853	3,847	211	104	~	~	0
Hawaii	1,904	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	1,904
Idaho	3,361	2,520	60	645	73	17	~	~	46
Montana	885	715	12	30	123	4	0	0	1
Nevada	3,908	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	3,908
New Mexico <sup>b</sup>	3,724	969	226	1,847	253	7	1	0	421
Oregon	22,195	17,077	1,964	2,460	471	208	0	0	15
Utah	3,601	2,408	175	778	137	38	57	0	8
Washington	11,768	6,979	2,428	1,451	506	361	3	37	3
Wyoming	727	580	27	93	25	1	1	0	0

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Classifies Hispanic or Latino as a race rather than an ethnicity; therefore, parolees reported as Hispanic or Latino may also be of another race. In addition parolees reported among other racial categories may also be Hispanic or Latino.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for race and Hispanic origin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Does not collect ethnicity data; therefore, the number of Hispanic or Latino parolees could not be reported and whether or not other racial categories include Hispanic or Latino parolees could not be determined.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m d}$ Asian includes an unspecified number of parolees who are Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander because Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander could not be reported separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Asian excludes an unspecified number of Filipino parolees because they were reported in Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander.

## Appendix Table 18.

## Adults on parole, by status of supervision, 2008

	Parole	Number of adults on parole, 2008									
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Active	Only financial conditions	Inactive	Absconder	Supervised out of state	Othera	Unknown or not reported			
U.S. total	828,169	701,611	132	29,700	47,692	33,325	12,134	3,575			
Federal	98,629	98,629	0	0	0	0	0	0			
State	729,540	602,982	132	29,700	47,692	33,325	12,134	3,575			
Northeast	149,825	114,774	**	4,751	11,286	6,271	9,173	3,570			
Connecticut	2,328	2,124	0	0	0	204	0	0			
Maine	31	25	0	0	0	6	0	0			
Massachusetts	3,185	2,908	0	0	154	123	0	0			
New Hampshire	1,661	1,297	0	0	0	299	0	65			
New Jersey <sup>b</sup>	15,849	12,097	0	0	75	687	0	2,990			
New York	52,225	37,261	~	6	4,326	1,459	9,173	0			
Pennsylvania	72,951	58,056	0	4,740	6,718	3,437	0	0			
Rhode Island <sup>b</sup>	515	**	**	**	**	/	**	515			
Vermont <sup>b,c</sup>	1,080	1,006	**	5	13	56	0	0			
Midwest	141,898	125,415	**	0	5,580	10,213	690	0			
Illinois	33,683	30,431	0	~	~	3,252	~	0			
Indiana <sup>b</sup>	10,637	9,957	0	0	654	26	0	0			
Iowa <sup>b</sup>	3,159	3,159	**	~	0	/	0	0			
Kansas <sup>b</sup>	4,958	3,996	0	0	/	962	0	0			
Michigan	22,523	18,810	~	~	2,790	923	0	0			
Minnesota	5,081	4,818	0	0	0	263	0	0			
Missouri	20,683	18,014	0	0	668	2,001	0	0			
Nebraska	846	709	0	0	40	97	0	0			
North Dakota	384	305	0	0	0	79	0	0			
Ohio	19,119	18,137	0	0	0	982	0	0			
South Dakota	2,720	2,161	0	0	154	405	0	0			
Wisconsin	18,105	14,918	0	0	1,274	1,223	690	0			
South	244,071	188,351	97	20,143	20,958	12,690	1,832	0			
Alabama <sup>c</sup>	8,042	5,836	97	75	480	1,554	0	0			
Arkansas	19,908	12,317	**	4,300	2,163	1,128	0	0			
Delaware	551	474	0	0	10	67	0	0			
District of Columbia	6,135	4,120	0	306	488	21	1,200	0			
Florida	4,528	2,871	**	944	474	239	0	0			
Georgia	23,448	19,971	0	0	724	2,753	0	0			
Kentucky	12,277	10,342	~	877	413	150	495	0			
Louisiana	24,636	22,878	0	0	722	1,036	0	0			
Maryland	13,220	8,419	**	1,337	2,794	533	137	0			
Mississippi	2,922	2,690	0	0	0	232	0	0			
North Carolina	3,409	3,159	**		133	117	0	0			
Oklahoma <sup>c</sup>	3,073	1,881	0	988	74	130	0	0			
South Carolina	1,947	1,324	0	185	217	221	0	0			
Tennessee	10,578	9,646			463	469		0			
Texas	10,578	76,827	0	10,989	11,803	3,302	0	0			
Virginia <sup>b</sup>		4,094		10,989	11,803	3,302					
	4,471		0				0	0			
West Virginia	2,005	1,502	0	142	0	361	0	0			

## Appendix Table 18. (cont.)

## Adults on parole, by status of supervision, 2008

	Parole			Number o	of adults on pa	role, 2008		
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Active	Only financial conditions	Inactive	Absconder	Supervised out of state	Other <sup>a</sup>	Unknown or not reported
West	193,746	174,442	35	4,806	9,868	4,151	439	5
Alaska	1,732	1,410	0	0	249	73	0	0
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	7,534	6,957	0	368	209	1	0	0
California <sup>b</sup>	120,753	120,753	0	0	1	1	0	0
Colorado	11,654	8,844	~	~	867	1,943	~	0
Hawaii	1,904	1,671	0	0	113	120	0	0
Idaho	3,361	2,625	**	353	0	382	0	1
Montanab	885	885	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	3,908	2,178	**	1,204	261	265	0	0
New Mexico <sup>c</sup>	3,724	3,136	0	196	**	392	0	0
Oregon	22,195	13,975	**	1,228	6,441	548	0	3
Utah	3,601	2,829	0	0	171	161	439	1
Washington	11,768	8,568	35	1,457	1,544	164	0	0
Wyoming	727	611	0	0	13	103	0	0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

/Not reported.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes parolees who were deported, confined, including those who were held on a detainer, in a residential treatment facility, and others.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>December 31, 2008, population excludes parolees in one or more statuses. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Some or all data are estimated for status of supervision.

## Appendix Table 19. Adults on parole, by maximum sentence to incarceration, 2008

Parole Number of adults on parole							
Region and	population,	One year	One year More than U				
jurisdiction	12/31/2008	or less	one year	not reported			
U.S. total	828,169	40,855	649,683	137,631			
Federal	98,629	12,281	86,313	35			
State	729,540	28,574	563,370	137,596			
Northeast	149,825	8,954	74,094	66,777			
Connecticut	2,328	0	2,328	0			
Maine	31	0	31	0			
Massachusetts	3,185	**	**	3,185			
New Hampshire	1,661	0	1,661	0			
New Jersey	15,849	**	**	15,849			
New York	52,225	0	52,225	0			
Pennsylvania <sup>a</sup>	72,951	8,910	16,396	47,645			
Rhode Island	515	24	491	0			
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	1,080	20	962	98			
Midwest	141,898	13,266	122,372	6,260			
Illinois <sup>b</sup>	33,683	3,365	30,296	22			
Indiana	10,637	9,698	939	0			
Iowa	3,159	**	**	3,159			
Kansas <sup>c</sup>	4,958	**	4,958	0			
Michigan	22,523	13	22,151	359			
Minnesota	5,081	0	5,081	0			
Missouri	20,683	0	20,683	0			
Nebraska	846	0	846	0			
North Dakota	384	190	194	0			
Ohio <sup>c</sup>	19,119	**	19,119	0			
South Dakota	2,720	**	**	2,720			
Wisconsin	18,105	0	18,105	0			
South	244,071	4,943	216,050	23,078			
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	8,042	1,901	6,141	0			
Arkansas	19,908	**	**	19,908			
Delaware	551	**	**	551			
District of Columbia	6,135	0	6,135	0			
Florida	4,528	243	4,285	0			
Georgia <sup>b</sup>	23,448	0	23,448	0			
Kentucky	12,277	674	11,603	0			
Louisiana	24,636	140	23,891	605			
Maryland	13,220	104	13,116	0			
Mississippi	2,922	0	2,922	0			
North Carolina <sup>b</sup>	3,409	273	3,136	0			
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	3,073	87	2,919	67			
South Carolina	1,947	**	**	1,947			
Tennessee	10,578	1,521	9,057	0			
Texas	102,921	0	102,921	0			
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	4,471	0	4,471	0			
West Virginia	2,005	0	2,005	0			

## Appendix Table 19. (cont.)

## Adults on parole, by maximum sentence to incarceration, 2008

	Parole	Number of adults on parole, 2008					
Region and	population,	One year	More than	Unknown or			
jurisdiction	12/31/2008	or less	one year	not reported			
West	193,746	1,411	150,854	41,481			
Alaska	1,732	95	1,637	0			
Arizona	7,534	1,312	6,222	0			
California	120,753	0	120,753	0			
Colorado	11,654	**	**	11,654			
Hawaii	1,904	0	1,904	0			
Idaho	3,361	0	3,361	0			
Montana	885	0	885	0			
Nevada	3,908	**	**	3,908			
New Mexico	3,724	**	**	3,724			
Oregon	22,195	**	**	22,195			
Utah	3,601	4	3,597	0			
Washington	11,768	0	11,768	0			
Wyoming	727	0	727	0			

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Detailed data represent state parolees only. Detailed data are not available for county parolees.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm b}{\rm Some}$  or all detailed data are estimated for maximum sentence to incarce ration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

## Appendix Table 20.

## Adults on parole, by most serious offense, 2008

	Parole	Number of adults on parole, 2008							
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Violent	Property	Drug	Weapon	Othera	Unknown or not reported		
U.S. total	828,169	185,022	168,502	265,634	21,206	76,691	111,114		
Federal	98,629	6,333	17,551	52,550	12,411	9,631	153		
State	729,540	178,689	150,951	213,084	8,795	67,060	110,961		
Northeast	149,825	33,812	7,203	30,648	1,110	7,532	69,520		
Connecticut	2,328	154	229	625	69	234	1,017		
Maine	31	31	0	0	0	0	0		
Massachusetts	3,185	**	**	**	**	**	3,185		
New Hampshire	1,661	**	**	**	**	**	1,661		
New Jersey	15,849	**	**	**	**	**	15,849		
New York <sup>b</sup>	52,225	22,148	4,815	21,700	406	3,156	0		
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	72,951	10,921	1,841	8,061	622	3,726	47,780		
Rhode Island	515	291	55	136	13	20	0		
Vermont <sup>d</sup>	1,080	267	263	126	**	396	28		
Midwest	141,898	33,925	38,363	38,301	2,828	9,476	19,005		
Illinois <sup>d</sup>	33,683	7,272	9,611	14,133	1,850	817	0		
Indiana	10,637	313	2,927	2,561	297	4,539	0		
Iowa	3,159	279	666	1,481	**	733	0		
Kansas	4,958	2,484	319	1,122	**	558	475		
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	22,523	5,879	12,635	4,009	**	0	0		
Minnesota	5,081	2,112	490	2,039	171	266	3		
Missouri	20,683	4,350	6,558	8,289	310	1,163	13		
Nebraska	846	193	266	258	9	119	1		
North Dakota	384	**	**	**	**	**	384		
Ohio	19,119	10,802	4,321	2,524	191	1,281	0		
South Dakota	2,720	241	570	1,885	0	0	24		
Wisconsin	18,105	**	**	**	**	**	18,105		
South	244,071	54,337	56,044	91,748	3,930	26,868	11,144		
Alabama <sup>d</sup>	8,042	**	**	**	**	75	7,967		
Arkansas	19,908	3,475	4,619	6,848	257	4,709	0		
Delaware	551	162	68	90	38	193	0		
District of Columbia	6,135	1,978	599	2,531	333	387	307		
Florida	4,528	2,236	1,035	743	244	270	0		
Georgia	23,448	4,863	6,472	10,245	1,020	609	239		
Kentucky	12,277	2,183	3,765	5,052	~	1,277	0		
Louisiana	24,636	3,335	6,829	11,860	~	2,363	249		
Maryland	13,220	5,775	2,243	4,135	**	1,067	0		
Mississippi North Carolina	2,922	559	900	1,460 84	5	760	0		
Oklahoma <sup>d</sup>	3,409	2,311	240			769			
	3,073	379	589	1,891	66	148	0		
South Carolina	1,947	620	530	485	10	302	0		
Tennessee	10,578	2,926	2,012	2,778	42	823	1,997		
Texas	102,921	20,248	25,022	42,537	1,895	13,219	0		
Virginia <sup>d</sup>	4,471	2,851	538	456	17	224	385		
West Virginia	2,005	436	583	553	0	433	0		

## Appendix Table 20. (cont.)

## Adults on parole, by most serious offense, 2008

	Parole		Number of adults on parole, 2008							
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Violent	Property	Drug	Weapon	Othera	Unknown or not reported			
West	193,746	56,615	49,341	52,387	927	23,184	11,292			
Alaska	1,732	276	60	41	11	384	960			
Arizona	7,534	1,693	2,177	2,079	271	839	475			
California <sup>e</sup>	120,753	33,704	35,670	35,885	**	15,494	0			
Colorado	11,654	2,718	3,455	3,511	584	1,386	0			
Hawaii	1,904	**	**	**	**	**	1,904			
Idaho	3,361	1,229	854	1,108	0	170	0			
Montana	885	392	253	160	0	35	45			
Nevada	3,908	**	**	**	**	**	3,908			
New Mexico	3,724	**	**	**	**	**	3,724			
Oregon <sup>e</sup>	22,195	8,371	4,525	4,846	**	4,453	0			
Utah	3,601	1,336	919	927	56	359	4			
Washington	11,768	6,672	1,174	3,588	**	62	272			
Wyoming	727	224	254	242	5	2	0			

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Includes parolees under supervision for a public-order offense (other than a weapon offense unless otherwise specified), such as obstruction of justice, DWI/DUI, traffic, violation of probation/parole/conditional release and other offenses.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm b}$ Violent includes an unspecified number of parolees who were under supervision for a weapon offense because they could not be reported separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Detailed data represent state parolees only. Detailed data are not available for county parolees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Some or all data are estimated for most serious offense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Other includes an unspecified number of parolees who were under supervision for a weapon offense.

# Appendix Table 21. Adults on parole, by type of release from prison, 2008

	Parole		Nur	nber of adults o	n parole, 2008		
Region and	population,			Special	Term of super-		Unknown or
jurisdiction	12/31/2008	Discretionary <sup>a</sup>	Mandatory <sup>b</sup>	conditionalc	vised release <sup>d</sup>	Othere	not reported
U.S. total	828,169	356,292	301,721	52	141,657	7,036	21,411
Federal	98,629	2,320	119	**	96,190	0	0
State	729,540	353,972	301,602	52	45,467	7,036	21,411
Northeast	149,825	113,412	8,524	6	19,636	3,109	5,138
Connecticut <sup>f</sup>	2,328	1,515	0	0	813	0	0
Maine	31	31	0	0	0	0	0
Massachusetts	3,185	3,185	0	0	0	0	0
New Hampshire	1,661	1,661	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey	15,849	8,159	0	1	2,551	0	5,138
New York <sup>f,g</sup>	52,225	24,315	8,524	5	16,272	3,109	0
Pennsylvania	72,951	72,951	0	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island	515	515	**	**	**	**	0
Vermont <sup>g</sup>	1,080	1,080	~	~	~	0	0
Midwest	141,898	51,782	63,336	**	20,258	3,041	3,481
Illinois <sup>g</sup>	33,683	96	33,566	~	~	21	0
Indiana	10,637	0	10,637	0	0	0	0
Iowa	3,159	**	**	**	**	**	3,159
Kansas	4,958	816	0	0	4,137	0	5
Michigan	22,523	21,895	628	0	0	0	0
Minnesota	5,081	0	1,177	0	3,664	240	0
Missouri	20,683	19,520	1,163	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	846	846	0	0	0	0	0
North Dakota	384	384	0	0	0	0	0
Ohio	19,119	4,692	13,445	0	0	982	0
South Dakota	2,720	1,196	0	0	0	1,524	0
Wisconsin	18,105	2,337	2,720	**	12,457	274	317
South	244,071	164,680	64,675	46	5,573	579	8,518
Alabama <sup>g</sup>	8,042	75	**	**	**	**	7,967
Arkansas	19,908	19,908	**	**	**	**	0
Delaware	551	**	**	**	**	**	551
District of Columbia	6,135	2,939	0	0	3,196	0	0
Florida	4,528	824	3,582	8	114	0	0
Georgia	23,448	23,448	0	0	0	0	0
Kentucky	12,277	12,275	0	2	0	0	0
Louisiana	24,636	4,745	19,891	0	**	0	0
Maryland	13,220	5,708	7,512	**	**	0	0
Mississippi	2,922	2,886	0	36	0	0	0
North Carolina <sup>f,g</sup>	3,409	309	837	**	2,263	0	0
Oklahoma <sup>g</sup>	3,073	3,073	0	0	0	0	0
South Carolina	1,947	1,947	0	0	0	0	0
Tennessee	10,578	10,133	22	~	0	423	0
Texas	102,921	73,216	29,549	0	0	156	0
Virginia <sup>g</sup>	4,471	1,189	3,282	0	0	0	0
West Virginia	2,005	2,005	0	0	0	0	0

## Appendix Table 21. (cont.)

## Adults on parole, by type of release from prison, 2008

	Parole	Number of adults on parole, 2008							
Region and jurisdiction	population, 12/31/2008	Discretionary <sup>a</sup>	Mandatory <sup>b</sup>	Special conditional <sup>c</sup>	Term of super- vised release <sup>d</sup>	Othere	Unknown or not reported		
West	193,746	24,098	165,067	**	**	307	4,274		
Alaska	1,732	79	1,653	0	0	0	0		
Arizona	7,534	152	7,382	0	0	0	0		
California <sup>f</sup>	120,753	23	120,730	0	0	0	0		
Colorado	11,654	7,282	4,065	~	~	307	0		
Hawaii	1,904	1,904	0	0	0	0	0		
Idaho	3,361	3,361	~	~	~	0	0		
Montana	885	885	0	0	0	0	0		
Nevada <sup>g</sup>	3,908	3,323	585	~	~	0	0		
New Mexico	3,724	**	**	**	**	**	3,724		
Oregon	22,195	2,665	18,980	0	0	0	550		
Utah	3,601	3,601	0	0	0	0	0		
Washington	11,768	96	11,672	0	0	0	0		
Wyoming	727	727	0	0	0	0	0		

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Discretionary parole includes persons who entered parole as the result of a parole board decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Mandatory parole includes persons whose release from prison was not decided by a parole board. Includes those who entered parole because of determinate sentencing statutes, good-time provisions, or emergency releases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Special conditional releases include medical releases, early releases for the terminally ill, and other special releases.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Term of supervised release includes persons sentenced by a judge to a fixed period of incarceration based on a determinate statute immediately followed by a period of supervised released in the community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Includes parolees who were: transferred from another state, had their original parole sentence reinstated, released from a boot camp operated by the Department of Corrections, released from prison through a conditional medical or mental health release to parole, and others.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

gSome or all detailed data are estimated for type of release from prison.

## Appendix Table 22. Adults on parole tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), also on probation, or incarcerated, 2008

	Number of adults on parole, 2008								
Region and	Location	tracked by GPS		ated					
jurisdiction	Total	Sex offenders	Probation	Jail	Prison ICE holding fa				
U.S. total	18,117	12,629	3,905	19,273	15,585	24,527			
Federal <sup>a,b</sup>	357	72	~	~	~	~			
State	17,760	12,557	3,905	19,273	15,585	24,527			
Northeast	861	537	**	4,959	5,222	579			
Connecticut	193	60	**	**	19	74			
Maine	~	~	~	~	~	~			
Massachusetts <sup>a</sup>	85	84	~	149	216	59			
New Hampshire	~	~	~	~	~	~			
New Jersey	210	210	**	841	192	0			
New York	373	183	0	3,857	309	404			
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	**	**	0	112	4,459	42			
Rhode Island	~	~	~	~	~	~			
Vermont <sup>a</sup>	0	0	**	**	27	**			
Midwest	5,490	3,269	1,739	**	539	1			
Illinois	122	122	~	~	~	~			
Indiana	~	~	~	~	~	~			
Iowa	23	14	~	~	~	~			
Kansas <sup>a</sup>	307	285	**	**	**	**			
Michigan	2,099	**	~	~	~	~			
Minnesota	12	12	~	~	~	~			
Missouri	19	3	**	**	**	**			
Nebraska	31	**	**	0	0	**			
North Dakota <sup>a</sup>	5	5	**	**	**	**			
Ohio	76	32	~	~	~	~			
South Dakota	4	4	0	0	5	1			
Wisconsin <sup>a,d</sup>	2,792	2,792	1,739	**	534	**			
South	3,044	1,568	1,156	12,098	8,327	5,880			
Alabama	~	~	~	192	~	~			
Arkansas	~	~	**	662	183	2			
Delaware <sup>a</sup>	12	**	**	**	**	**			
District of Columbia	389	60	311	0	632	72			
Florida	260	203	0	17	267	71			
Georgia	273	214	0	2,332	0	0			
Kentucky	~	~	308	138	147	**			
Louisiana	174	79	72	754	163	47			
			0		137				
Maryland	~	~	~	~	~	0 ~			
Mississippi North Carolina <sup>e</sup>	16			**	**	**			
	33	16 0	411						
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup> South Carolina			~	~	~	~			
	157	~	~	~ 607	~	~			
Tennessee	157	154	54	7 206	6.709	0			
Texas	1,599	834	~	7,396	6,798	5,688			
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	123		~	~	~	~			
West Virginia	8	8	~	~	~	~			

## Appendix Table 22. (cont.)

## Adults on parole tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), also on probation, or incarcerated, 2008

		Number of adults on parole, 2008							
Region and jurisdiction	Location	Location tracked by GPS		Incarcerated					
	Total	Sex offenders	Probation	Jail	Prison	ICE holding facility			
West	8,365	7,183	1,010	2,216	1,497	18,067			
Alaska	~	~	~	~	~	~			
Arizona	114	110	~	~	~	~			
California	6,779	6,629	0	279	1	17,577			
Colorado	1,395	382	~	945	~	1			
Hawaii	~	~	0	13	93	152			
Idaho	14	9	0	3	23	0			
Montana	~	~	**	0	0	0			
Nevada	11	1	**	**	1,204	**			
New Mexico <sup>a</sup>	**	**	998	0	0	0			
Oregon	~	~	~	~	~	~			
Utah	**	**	0	94	165	22			
Washington	52	52	**	882	1	307			
Wyoming	~	~	12	0	10	8			

<sup>\*\*</sup>Not known.

<sup>~</sup>Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Some or all data are estimated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>A sex offender is defined as any offender convicted of a sex offense, ordered to a special condition for sex offender treatment, or fulfilling other sex offender treatment requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Detailed data represent state parolees only. Detailed data are not available for county parolees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>The total number of parolees tracked by GPS was unknown but there were at least 2,792 tracked by GPS for a sex offense. Prison only includes parolees incarcerated in a state prison in Wisconsin; the count does not include parolees, if any, incarcerated in a federal prison.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>See Explanatory notes for more detail.

#### Probation: Explanatory notes, 2008

Federal—data for the federal system were provided to BJS through the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program (FJPS), which obtained data directly from the Office of Probation and Pretrial Services, Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

Alabama—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 79% of Alabama's total probation population, and two local agencies. Alabama's total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 2,695 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Alaska—total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 175 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8).

Arizona—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 97% of Arizona's total probation population, and one local agency. Arizona's total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional estimated 1,313 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Colorado—has eight reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 88% of Colorado's total probation population, and seven local agencies. Colorado's total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 25 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional estimated 607 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Connecticut—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an unknown number of probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Delaware-total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 165 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

District of Columbia—in 2008 the District of Columbia changed its method of reporting probation data to BJS. Prior to 2008 probationers who were on active supervision and awaiting approval for a transfer through an interstate compact agreement were excluded from the data. For this reason probation data reported by

the District of Columbia in 2008 may not be comparable to prior years (appendix table 2). See Methodology for more detail.

Florida—has 41 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 66% of Florida's total probation population, and 40 local agencies. Florida's total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 2,899 probationers on inactive status (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional 371 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Georgia—has two state reporting agencies. One agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the state, representing 38% of Georgia's total probation population. The second agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the counties, including county probationers who were under supervision for a misdemeanor and supervised by private probation agencies. The county probation population represented 62% of Georgia's total probation population. Because the agency that reports the county data has the capacity to report probation cases and not the number of individuals under supervision, the counts may have overstated the number of individuals under probation supervision in Georgia. Additionally, this agency changed its method of reporting probation data, excluding probationers under supervision for a minor traffic citation from the population count. For this reason, data are not comparable to the data reported by Georgia in prior years (appendix table 2). See Methodology for more detail.

Georgia's total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes at least an additional 3,395 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement. In addition to the 3,395, an additional unknown number of probationers were under supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table

Hawaii-total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 191 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Idaho**—has two state reporting agencies. One agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the state, representing 28% of Idaho's total probation population. The second agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the counties and under supervision for a misdemeanor. The county probation population

represented 72% of Idaho's total probation population. Additionally, this Idaho agency only has the capacity to report the number of probationers who entered county supervision for a misdemeanor during 2008. The respondent was able to provide an estimate of time served on misdemeanor probation within the counties, which was estimated at one year or less. With this additional information and through a discussion with the Idaho respondent for this agency, the December 31, 2008 population was estimated based on the total number of probationers who entered county supervision for a misdemeanor during 2008. Exits from county misdemeanant probation during 2008 were based on the January 1, 2008 population or the number of probationers who entered county supervision for a misdemeanor during 2007 (appendix table 2).

Idaho's total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 451 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2). About 55% of the probationers who were under county supervision for a misdemeanor were on inactive supervision and were not required to regularly report to a probation authority in person, by mail, or by telephone (appendix table 8).

Illinois—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional estimated 2,232 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Iowa-total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 727 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8).

Kansas-total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes an unknown number of probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an unknown number of probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Kentucky**—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 55% of Kentucky's total probation population, and two local agencies. Kentucky's total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 1,653 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

#### Probation: Explanatory notes, 2008 (cont.)

Louisiana—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 1,804 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Maine—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 185 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Maryland—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 2,398 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Massachusetts—total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 1,039 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8).

Michigan—has 134 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 33% of Michigan's total probation population, and 133 local agencies. Michigan's total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 1,108 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement and an estimated 200 probationers on warrant status (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional 1,551 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Minnesota—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 1,425 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Missouri**—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 99% of Missouri's total probation population, and one local agency.

Montana—has four reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 94% of Montana's total probation population, and three local agencies. Montana's total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 846 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional 297 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Nebraska—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 434 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2). New Hampshire—total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 535 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional 532 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

New Mexico—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 76% of New Mexico's total probation population, and one local agency. New Mexico's total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional unknown number of probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Ohio—has 187 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 6% of Ohio's total probation population, and 186 local agencies. Ohio's total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 1,289 probationers with only financial conditions remaining, 82 probationers on warrant status, 80 probationers in a residential/other treatment program, 5 absconders, and an unknown number of probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). Ohio's total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an estimate of at least 97 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Oklahoma**—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 89% of Oklahoma's total probation population, and two local agencies.

Pennsylvania—technically, Pennsylvania has one reporting agency, which is the state agency. The state agency reports both state and county data. However, the county data are reported separately from the state data. The state probation population represented 3% of Pennsylvania's total probation population on December 31, 2008, while the county probation population represented 97% (appendix table 2). See *Methodology* for a discussion of how entries and exits in Pennsylvania counties were estimated for 2008, and how the method used in 2008 was different from the method used from 2000 to 2007.

**South Dakota**—total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 281 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8).

Tennessee—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 84% of Tennessee's total probation population, and two local agencies. Tennessee's total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 43 probationers who had their location tracked by GPS (appendix tables 2, 11). The population includes an additional 2,698 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Texas**—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 4,059 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Vermont**—total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes an estimated 16 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an estimated 214 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Virginia**—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional estimated 1,486 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

Washington—has 32 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 25% of Washington's total probation population, and 31 local agencies. Washington's total probation population on December 31, 2008 excludes 370 probationers on warrant status and an estimated 63 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional 11 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

West Virginia—has two state reporting agencies. One state agency represented 97% of West Virginia's total probation population and reported all probationers under the jurisdiction of the state except some probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement. This agency does not have jurisdiction over those probationers. The second state agency has jurisdiction over probationers supervised out of state through an interstate compact agreement, and this agency only reported those probationers.

Wyoming—total probation population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 413 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

### Parole: Explanatory notes, 2008

Federal—data for the federal system were provided to BJS through the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program (FJPS), which obtained data directly from the Office of Probation and Pretrial Services, Administrative Office of the United States Courts. Federal parole (as defined here) includes a term of supervised release from prison, mandatory release, parole, military parole, and special parole. Definitional differences exist between parole reported here and in other BJS data series.

Alabama—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 99% of Alabama's total parole population, and one local agency. Alabama's total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 600 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Arizona—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 333 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18). The population includes an additional 572 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

California—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 99.9% of California's total parole population, and the California Youth Authority (CYA). California's total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 1,093 parolees supervised out of state through an interstate compact agreement and 17,382 absconders (appendix tables 12, 18). The population includes an additional 1,404 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12). *Mandatory* includes a small, unknown number of parolees who received a *discretionary* release from prison (appendix table 21).

Colorado—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 1,943 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12). Some of these parolees had been released to a detainer for other charges and some were detained by the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agency for deportation. Colorado's total parole population also includes an additional 307 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Connecticut—changed its reporting methods for types of entry to parole supervision. In previous years Connecticut had reported entries to special parole, a parole category in Connecticut, in the *Mandatory* category. In 2008 parolees classified as special parole in Connecticut were reported in the new BJS category of *Term of* 

*supervised release* from prison (appendix tables 13, 21).

**Delaware**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 165 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Florida**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 30 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Hawaii—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 46 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Idaho**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 176 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Indiana**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 376 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18).

**Iowa**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 284 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18).

Kansas—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 220 absconders (appendix tables 12, 18). Other entries include 1,319 parolees who entered supervision from absconder status after a warrant was cleared and 149 other parolees (appendix table 13). Absconded includes parolees who could not be located and had a warrant issued for their arrest. Other exits include parolees who exited supervision because a warrant had been issued for other reasons (appendix table 14). More than one year includes a relatively small but unknown number of parolees who were sentenced for a felony, but the incarceration portion of their sentence was one year or less (appendix table 19).

**Kentucky**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 470 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Louisiana—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 540 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Maryland—parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 471 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Massachusetts—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 260 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Montana—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 150 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18). The population includes an additional 88 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

New Hampshire—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 65 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

New Jersey—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 700 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18). The population includes an additional 550 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

New Mexico—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional unknown number of parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

New York—Other entries include parolees released from prison at the time of their eligibility without an appearance before a parole board. New York refers to this type of release as a presumptive release. Inmates who served sentences for non-violent offenses and who had no history of violence were eligible for a presumptive release. New York's presumptive release law was enacted in 2003, implemented at the end of 2003, and became fully operational during 2004. Other entries also include parolees who were sentenced directly to parole supervision with the requirement that they complete a 90day drug and alcohol treatment program. New York refers to this type of entry as judicially sanctioned and it falls under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1995. Certain drug and property offenders were eligible for a judicially sanctioned entry to parole supervision.Parolees released from local jails were also included in other entries. In 2006 the New York Division of

#### Parole: Explanatory notes, 2008 (cont.)

Parole resumed the responsibility for supervising selected inmates released from local jails after serving a sentence of less than one year. These parolees remain under parole supervision for one year (appendix table 13). *Other* type of release from prison includes the same classifications of parolees who had been reported in the *other* entries category (appendix table 21).

North Carolina—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes offenders under post-release supervision. Post-release supervision is defined under North Carolina's Structured Sentencing Act of 1993 as a reintegration program for serious offenders who served extensive prison terms. The state's total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes an unspecified number of parolees and post-release offenders who were also on probation (appendix table 12). Post-release offenders were reported in term of supervised release (appendix table 21).

**Ohio**—more than a year includes an unknown number of parolees who were sentenced for a felony but the incarceration portion of their sentence was for one year or less (appendix table 19).

Pennsylvania—technically, Pennsylvania has one reporting agency, which is the state agency. The state agency reports both state and county parole data. However, these data are reported separately. The state parole population represented 35% of Pennsylvania's total parole population on December 31, 2008, while the county parole population represented 65% (appendix table 12). See *Methodology* for a discussion on how entries and exits in Pennsylvania counties were estimated for 2008, and how the estimation method used in 2008 was different from the method used from 2000 to 2007.

**Rhode Island**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 49 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18).

**Tennessee**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 867 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Vermont—total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes 13 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18). The population includes an additional estimated 54 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

Virginia—see Methodology for a discussion of how Virginia's parole population on January 1, 2008 was estimated, as well as the method used to estimate parole entries and exits during 2008. Reporting methods in Virginia changed in 2008 when the state consolidated its databases, resulting in data review and cleanup. Because of this change, data may not be comparable to data reported by Virginia in prior years. Virginia's total parole population on December 31, 2008 excludes an unspecified number of absconders (appendix tables 12, 18). The population includes an additional 629 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Washington**—in previous years some parolees exiting supervision through a *completion* were included in the *other* category. Therefore, exit data for these two categories may not be comparable to data reported in prior years (appendix table 14).

**Wyoming**—total parole population on December 31, 2008 includes an additional 150 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**U.S. Department of Justice** Office of Justice Programs **Bureau of Justice Statistics** 

PRESORTED STANDARD POSTAGE & FEES PAID DOJ/BJS Permit No. G-91

Washington, DC 20531

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

This report in portable document format and in ASCII and its related statistical data and tables are available at the BJS World Wide Web Internet site: <a href="http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/">http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/</a> bjs/abstract/ppus08.htm>

#### Office of Justice Programs

Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistics agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. Michael D. Sinclair is the acting director.

Lauren E. Glaze and Thomas P. Bonczar wrote this report. Lauren E. Glaze, Thomas P. Bonczar, and Matthew S. Cooper analyzed the data and prepared the tables. William J. Sabol and Matthew S. Cooper provided statistical verification. Sheri R. Simmons and Todd Minton provided statistical review. Georgette Walsh and Jill Duncan edited the report. Tina Dorsey produced the report and Jayne Robinson prepared it for final printing under the supervision of Doris J. James.

Nicole S. Adolph and Jorgelina A. Arroyo carried out the data collection and processing under the supervision of Latrice M. Brogsdale-Davis, Governments Division, Census Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce. Ryan D. Driscoll provided technical assistance under the supervision of Duane H. Cavanaugh.

December 2009, NCJ 228230